yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said first Monday in December being the fifth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty one come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said second Monday in June being the eleventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attorneys aforesaid to come before the Court of Appeals here on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said first Monday in December being the third day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two, come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Uncle Toby Lessee as aforesaid by Roger B. Taney and Reverdy Johnson his attorneys, as also the said Edward Harris by John Purviance and John V. L. McMahon his attorneys, and the said Uncle Toby Lessee as aforesaid by his attorneys aforesaid suggests to the Court of Appeals here, that the record of proceedings aforesaid is diminished in this court, that it does not appear in said record, that the exception of the appellants, whom were plaintiffs below in said case, was signed by the Court or either of the Judges thereof, before whom said case was tried: and he prays the writ of the State of Maryland of diminution to be directed to the Chief Judge and Associate Judges of the sixth Judicial District more fully to certify the truth thereof to the Court of Appeals here: and it is granted them, and thereupon the said writ issues forth out of the Court of Appeals here in the words following, that:

Maryland, to wit. The State of Maryland, to the Honorable the Chief Judge and Associate Judges of the sixth Judicial District greeting. Whereas in certain Plea of trespass and ejectment between Uncle Toby Lessee of William Cockey, Joseph C. Cockey, Philemon Jones, Sebastian Duff and John Cockey plaintiffs and Edward Harris defendant, lately depending before you in the County Court of Baltimore wherein you rendered judgment for the said Edward Harris against the said Uncle Toby Lessee as aforesaid, and from which said judgment so rendered, the said Uncle Toby Lessee as aforesaid appealed to the Court of Appeals, held for the Western Shore at the City of Annapolis on the second Monday in June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty; and in pursuance of the said appeal, a transcript of the record of proceedings in the plea aforesaid was transmitted: And whereas also it hath been suggested in the said Court of Appeals held as aforesaid on the first Monday of December last on the part of the said Uncle Toby Lessee as aforesaid, that the said record is diminished in this, that it does not appear in said record, that the exception of the appellants, who were plaintiffs below in said case, was signed by the Court or by either of the Judges thereof, before whom said case was tried, and for as much as the said Court of Appeals are not satisfied that there is a sufficient record sent in the plea aforesaid, but that in the record thereof there is diminution: Therefore you are hereby commanded, that sans delay, a full transcript of the whole proceedings therein you cause to be transmitted to the Court of Appeals, to be held for the Western Shore at the City of Annapolis on the second Monday in June next, without any diminution or addition whatsoever, to the end that speedy justice may be done in the premises according to law, whereof you are in no wise to fail: and send you then and there this writ.

Witness the Honorable John T. Buchanan Esquire Chief Judge of the said Court of Appeals the third day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two. Issued the 17th day of January 1833

John Johnson Clk.

The same day is given to the said parties there &c.

And now at this day to wit, the said second Monday in June being the tenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty three come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Uncle Toby Lessee as aforesaid by Roger B. Taney and Reverdy Johnson his attorneys, as also the said Edward Harris by John V. L. McMahon his attorney, and the said parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid files in Court here the agreement in the words following, that.

William Cockey and others Lessee vs Edward Harris.	The undersigned counsel for the respective parties in the title of this cause, agree that the plaintiffs 1st exception taken, be signed by the Chief Judge who sat at the trial thereof, and that the Clerk of the Court of Appeals be and