following to wit.

(see record bound up in Book DD No VII.)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Elizabeth Clagett, Edmund Clagett, Samuel A Clagett, Richard H Clagett, John W Clagett and Thomas Clagett by William H Marriott and Thomas J Alexander his attorneys, as the said Charles Salmon by Roger B Taney, Charles F Mayer, Upton S Heath and Somerville Pinkney his attorneys: and thereupon on motion of the said Charles Salmon by his attorneys aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Elizabeth Clagett and others assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the decree aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against them in default thereof: And the said Elizabeth Clagett and others by their attorneys aforesaid, say that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this Court, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the decree aforesaid in the cause aforesaid given, was given for the said Charles Salmon against the said Elizabeth Clagett and others when by the Law of the Land that decree ought to have been given for the said Elizabeth Clagett and others against the said Charles Salmon therefore in that there is manifest error, and they pray that the decree aforesaid for that error and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed, annulled and held entirely as void, and that they the said Elizabeth Clagett and others may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said decree and that the said Charles Salmon may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said Charles Salmon join in the errors by the said Elizabeth Clagett and others above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. And the said Charles Salmon by his attorneys aforesaid prays that a day may be given to him to join in the errors by the said Elizabeth Clagett and others above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next: and to him it is granted: the same day is also given to the said Elizabeth Clagett and others

Which said first Monday in December being the fifth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty one which said day was given to the said Charles Salmon to join in the errors by the said Elizabeth Clagett and others above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: and the said Charles

Salmon by his attorneys aforesaid says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Elizabeth Clagett and others above for error assigned, and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the second Monday in June next: the same day given to the said parties there then to hear the Judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

Which said second Monday in June being the eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court here as yet are not &c.

Which said first Monday in December being the third day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the second Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises for that the Court here as yet are not &c.

And now at this day to wit, the said second Monday in June being the tenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty three come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Edmund Clagett, Samuel A Clagett, Richard H Clagett, John W Clagett and Thomas Clagett by William H Marriott and Thomas J Alexander their attorneys and also Charles Salmon by Roger B Taney, Charles F Mayer, Upton S Heath and Somerville Pinkney his attorneys, and the said Edmund Clagett and others by their attorneys aforesaid suggest to the Court here the death of Elizabeth Clagett one of the appellants. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the decree given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Edmund Clagett and others above