rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said Harriet Carroll join in the errors by the said Richard Catow and John McTavish Executors as aforesaid above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against her in default thereof. And the said Harriet Carroll by her attorney aforesaid says, there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid, and she prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Richard Catow and John McTavish above for error assigned, and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the decree given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Richard Catow and John McTavish Executors as aforesaid above for error assigned being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and ma ture deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the decree aforesaid, given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in assignment to the landing: And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here that the said Harriet Carroll have execution against the said Richard Catow and John McTavish Executors as aforesaid as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto her in the said Orphans Court of Baltimore County, as also the sum of one hundred and eleven dollars and sixty five cents adjudged unto the said Harriet Carroll on her assent for her costs and charges which she hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Decree aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Richard Catow and John McTavish Executors as aforesaid for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted and so forth.

Test. John Johnson Clk.

William A Dorsett,
Thomas F Somerville &
Walter B Wallington
vs
Nan Diggs Ex of
William D Diggs use of
the Bank of the United States
use of Thomas F Somerville &
Walter B. Wallington.

Be it remembered, that now here on the second Monday in June being the eighth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine the Chief Judge and associate Judges of the first Judicial District of Maryland in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided

transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the County Court of Prince Georges between William D Diggs plaintiff and William A Dorsett defendant, and wherein the said County Court gave judgment for the said William D Diggs against the said William A Dorsett and from which said judgment the said William A Dorsett appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following, viz.

Maryland, Prince Georges County &c.

At a County Court of the First Judicial District of said State begun and held at the Town of Upper Marlborough in said County on the first Monday in April being the seventh day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight.

Were present.

John Stephen Chief Judge
Edmund Key and
John R Plater } Associate Judges.

Edmund W Bolt Esq Shff. Aquila Beall Clk.

In the record and proceedings of the same Court amongst others is the following, viz.

C. C. William D Diggs use of
The President & Directors & Co of
the Bank of the United States
vs
William A Dorsett.

Be it remembered that on the fourteenth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven William D Diggs by Clement Cox Esquire prosecuted and sued forth out of Prince Georges County Court, a certain writ of the State of Maryland of capias ad respondendum to the Sheriff of said County directed which is in the words and of the tenor following, viz. Prince Georges County Sc. The State of Maryland to the Sheriff of Prince Georges County Greeting, You are hereby commanded to take William A Dorsett late of said County planter if he shall be found in your bailiwick and him safe keep, so that you have his body before the next County Court to be held at Upper Marlborough Town in said County on the first Monday in April next to answer unto William D Diggs in a plea of trespass on the case and so forth. Hereof fail not at your peril and have you there and there this writ. Witness the Honorable John Stephen Chief Judge of the First Judicial District the 24th day of December 1826. Issued the 14th March 1827.

A Beall Clk.