And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Frederick Crabbs by Joseph M. Palmer and John Nelson his attornies as the said Mary Whitehill by William Rose her attorney: And the parties aforesaid by their said attornies file in Court here the following agreement to wit.

Frederick Crabbs
vs
Mary Whitehill

Court of Appeals.

In this case it is agreed by the Counsel, that the act of Assembly passed at December Session 1825 chapter 212 referred to in the statement of facts filed in this case in the County Court is to be considered as a part of the record in this case, and have the same effect as if incorporated in the statement; and the Clerk of the Court of Appeals do to copy said act of Assembly in making out copies of said record, in the same manner as if it had been inserted in the statement of facts by the Clerk of the Talbot County Court in making out the record which he has transmitted to this Court.

To the Clerk of the Court of Appeals.

Jos M. Palmer for appellant.
W. Rose. appellee
28 Nov. 1831.

And thereupon on motion of the said Mary Whitehill by her attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Frederick Crabbs assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the judgment aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof: And the said Frederick Crabbs by his attornies aforesaid says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Mary Whitehill against the said Frederick Crabbs, when by the law of the Land that judgment ought to have been given for the said Frederick Crabbs against the said Mary Whitehill therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled and held entirely as void, and that he the said Frederick Crabbs may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said judgment and that the said Mary Whitehill may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Mary Whitehill join in the errors by the said Frederick Crabbs above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against her in default thereof. And the said Mary Whitehill by her attorney aforesaid, prays that a day may be given to her to join in the errors by the said Frederick Crabbs above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid until the second Monday in June next: and to her it is granted: the same day is also given to the said Frederick Crabbs.

At which said second Monday in June being the eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two, which said day was given to the said Mary Whitehill to join in the errors by the said Frederick Crabbs above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid; and the said Mary Whitehill by her attorney aforesaid says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and she prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said Frederick Crabbs above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon for further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid, it is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in December next: the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the third day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid. But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the second Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not, and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the tenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty three come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid. But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not and so forth.

And now at this day to wit, the said first Monday in December being the second day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty three, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid, and the said Mary Whitehill by her attorney aforesaid offers herself against the said Frederick Crabbs of and upon the premises, and prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth. And for as much as the said Frederick Crabbs doth not allege to the Court of Appeals here any matter or thing for the reversal of the judgment aforesaid: nor