Dorsey) above for error assigned, and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the second Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the tenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty three come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: and the said parties by their said attorneys file in Court here an agreement to amend the record which is in the words following to wit.

Dennis Dorsey vs Dalton Dorsey, Owen Elder & Ux	In the Court of Appeals from the Western Shore of Md. from Chancery. It is agreed that at the argument of this cause either party may use the original papers and testimony on file in the Chancery office in relation to this case.

Wm. H. Marriott for appellees. July 3d 1833.
T. Parkin Scott for appellant.

But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid to come before the Court of Appeals here on the first Monday in December next to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises. For that the Court thereupon here as yet are not and so forth.

And now at this day to wit the said first Monday in December being the second day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty three come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon was well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the decree given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Dennis Dorsey above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood and mature deliberation thereupon had: for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the Decree aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect. The said causes for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding. And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here that the said Dalton Dorsey, Owen Elder and Ann his wife have execution against the said Dennis Dorsey as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto them in the said Court of Chancery, as also the sum of forty eight dollars and twenty five cents adjudged unto the said Dalton Dorsey, Owen Elder and Ann his wife by the Court of Appeals here on their assent for their cost and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the

delay of the execution of the Decree aforesaid by prosecution of the said appeal by the said Dennis Dorsey for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted and so forth.

Test.

John Johnson Clk.

John H. Fisher vs John S. C. Mutwells Lessee.	Be it remembered. That now here on the first Monday in December being the third day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two, the Chief Judge and Associate Judges of the Third Judicial District of Maryland in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the County Court of Anne Arundel between John Dunn Lessee of John S. C. Mutwell plaintiff and John H. Fisher defendant, and wherein the said County Court gave judgment for the said John Dunn Lessee as aforesaid against the said John H. Fisher and from which said judgment the said John H. Fisher appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit.

Maryland. Anne Arundel County to wit.

At a County Court of the Third Judicial District of the State of Maryland begun and held at the City of Annapolis in and for Anne Arundel County aforesaid on the third Monday of April being the sixteenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two and in the fifty sixth year of the Independence of the United States of America.

Present

The Honble Thomas Beale Dorsey Esquire Chief Judge
Charles S. Kilgour and
Thomas H. Wilkinson Esquires Associate Judges

Bushrod W. Marriott Esquire Sheriff William S. Green Clerk.

In the record of proceedings of the same Court among others is the following to wit.

John S. C. Mutwells Lessee against John H. Elleary Fisher.	Be it remembered. That heretofore to wit, on the twelfth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty one John Dunn Lessee of John S. C. Mutwell by Thomas S. Alexander his attorney declared in the County Court here against Richard Penn in a plea of trespass and ejectment with a notice thereto annexed in form following to wit.

Anne Arundel County to wit. Richard Penn late of said County Yeoman was attached to answer unto John Dunn in a plea wherefore with force and arms at the County aforesaid he entered into all those tracts or parcels of land called "Anne Arundel Manor" and "Barruges End" lying and being in the County aforesaid containing one hundred acres of land more or less with the appurtenances which John S. C. Mutwell demised to the