above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the tenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty three come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefor is further given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid to come before the Court of Appeals here on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises. For that the Court now here as yet are not advised &c.

And now at this day to wit the said first Monday in December being the second day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty three come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Eleanor Dougherty and others above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood and mature deliberation thereupon had. For that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding. And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here that the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid have execution against the said Eleanor Dougherty, Charles Dougherty and Mary Dougherty heirs and representatives of Michael Dougherty as well for the damages costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said County Court of Calvert, as also the sum of thirty one dollars and ninety two cents adjudged unto the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid on his assent for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Eleanor Dougherty and others for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted and so forth.

Test

John Johnson Clk.

John Gooding
vs
John S Donnell, James I S Donnell & William Donnells, Lessee

Be it remembered, That nevertheless on the first Monday in December being the third day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two, the Chief Judge and Associate Judges of the Sixth Judicial District of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the County Court of Baltimore between John Doe Lessee of John S Donnell, James I S Donnell and William Donnell plaintiff, and John Gooding defendant, and wherein the said County Court gave judgment for the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid against the said John Gooding, and from which said judgment the said John Gooding appealed to the Court of Appeals here the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit.

The State of Maryland, Baltimore County to wit.

At a County Court of the sixth Judicial District of the State of Maryland begun and held at the Court house in the City of Baltimore in and for the County aforesaid on the first Monday in April in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two.

Present.

The Honorable Stevenson Archer Chief Judge
Charles W Hanson
Thomas Kell } Esquires Associate Judges.

Henry Green Esquire Sheriff. William Gibson Clerk.

Among other said the following proceedings to wit.

John S Donnell, James I S Donnell & William Donnells Lessee
vs
John Gooding

Be it remembered, that heretofore to wit, on the fourteenth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty one, John Doe Lessee of John S Donnell, James I S Donnell and William Donnell by Jonathan Meredith his attorney, declared in the County Court here against Richard Roe in a plea of trespass and ejectment with a notice thereto annexed in form following to wit. Baltimore County to wit, Richard Roe was attached to answer John Doe of a plea whereupon he the said Richard Roe with force and arms &c. entered into all that tract or parcel of land called "Jack's Double Purchase" containing two hundred and three acres and one half of an acre: and also all that tract or parcel of land called Todd's Placer or Todd's Choice containing one hundred and fifteen acres, with the appurtenances, situate and being in Baltimore County aforesaid, which John S Donnell, John I S Donnell and William Donnell had demised to the said John Doe for a term which is not yet expired, and ejected him from his said farm, and other wrongs to the said John Doe then and there did to the great damage of the said John Doe and against the peace government and dignity of the State of Maryland &c. And thereupon the