the said Rachel Cox, Mary Cox et al, as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto them in the said Court of Chancery as also the sum of one hundred and seventeen dollars and fifty five cents adjudged unto the said Solomon Meadlow administrator as aforesaid and other by the Court of Appeals here on their assent for their costs and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the decree aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Rachel Cox, Mary Cox et al for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted and so forth.

Test. John Johnson Clk.

Robert Ridgely vs Michael Iglehart.	

Be it remembered, That now here on the first Monday in December being the third day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two, the Chancellor of Maryland in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmits to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Robert Ridgely Complainant and Michael Iglehart defendant and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said Michael Iglehart against the said Robert Ridgely and from which said decree the said Robert Ridgely appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit.

(See Record bound up in Book J S No 4 &c.)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Robert Ridgely by James Boyle his attorney, as the said Michael Iglehart by Thomas S Alexander his attorney; and thereupon on motion of the said Michael Iglehart by his attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Robert Ridgely assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the decree aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof. And the said Robert Ridgely by his attorney aforesaid says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of decree aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the decree aforesaid in the cause aforesaid given was given for the said Michael Iglehart against the said Robert Ridgely when by the Law of the Land that decree ought to have been given for the said Robert Ridgely against the said Michael Iglehart, therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the decree aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled and

held entirely for no void, and that he the said Robert Ridgely may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said decree, and that the said Michael Iglehart may reform to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said Michael Iglehart join in the errors by the said Robert Ridgely above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. And the said Michael Iglehart by his attorney aforesaid, prays that a day may be given to him to join in the errors by the said Robert Ridgely above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid until the second Monday in June next, and to him it is granted, the same day is also given to the said Robert Ridgely. At which said second Monday in June being the tenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty three, which said day was given to the said Michael Iglehart to join in the errors by the said Robert Ridgely above assigned come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid, and the said Michael Iglehart by his attorney aforesaid says, there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid, and he prays, that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said Robert Ridgely above for error assigned, and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in December next; the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day to wit, the said first Monday in December being the second Monday of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty three come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the decree given in from aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Robert Ridgely above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is error in the record of proceedings aforesaid and in the giving of the decree aforesaid. Therefore the Court of Appeals here pass an order in the words following to wit.

Robert Ridgely vs Michael Iglehart.	

Court of Appeals Dec T. 1833.

This cause having been heard and considered, it is the opinion of this Court, that all the heirs at Law of William Ridgely are necessary and proper parties: that the Bill should be amended accordingly, and that so much