of the said judgment and that the said William Eagle may rejoin to the errors aforesaid. and so forth. And thereupon it is ordered by the Court of appeals here, that the said William Eagle join in the errors by the said Dennis Dorsey above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, a judgment by the Court of appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. and the said William Eagle by his attornies aforesaid says that a day may be given to him to join in the errors by the said Dennis Dorsey above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next, and to him it is granted: the same day is also given to the said Dennis Dorsey.

At which said first Monday in December being the first day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty four, which said day was given to the said William Eagle to join in the errors by the said Dennis Dorsey above assigned, come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid; and the said William Eagle by his attorney aforesaid says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid. and he prays that the Court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Dennis Dorsey above for error assigned. and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of appeals here until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the said premises. and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the eighth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty five come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of appeals here until the first Monday in December next: the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the said premises. and so forth.

And now at this day to wit the said first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty five come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid. Whereupon we will the record and proceedings aforesaid and the judgment given in pursuance aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Dennis Dorsey above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of appeals here fully understood and matured deliberation thereupon had: for that it appears to the Court of appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the Court of appeals here that the judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect. The said causes for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the Court of appeals here, that the said William Eagle have execution against the said Dennis Dorsey as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said County Court of Frederick, as also the sum of ninety one dollars and four cents

adjudged unto the said William Eagle by the Court of appeals here on his dissent for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid, by prosecuting of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Dennis Dorsey for the correcting of errors and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted &c.

Test. John Johnson Clk.

Elizabeth E B Hawkins by Walter A Smoot her next friend
vs
Richard Widdleton & Alexander Millar use of Sarah E Reynolds use of William B Stone.

Be it remembered, That now here on the second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty four the Chief Judge and associate Judges of the first Judicial District of Maryland in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here, the record of proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the County Court of Charles between Richard Widdleton and Alexander Millar plaintiffs and Elizabeth E B Hawkins heiress of Henry S Hawkins by Walter A Smoot her next friend defendant, and wherein the said County Court gave judgment for the said Richard Widdleton and Alexander Millar against the said Elizabeth E B Hawkins by her next friend aforesaid and from which said judgment the said Elizabeth E B Hawkins by her next friend aforesaid appealed to the Court of appeals here the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit,

Maryland Charles County to wit

At a County Court of the first Judicial District of the State of Maryland begun and held at Port Tobacco in and for Charles County aforesaid on the third Monday of March being the seventeenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty four and the fifty eighth year of the Independence of the United States of America

Were present.

The Hon John Stephen Esquire Chief Judge
Edmund Key &
Clement Dorsey Esqr. Associate Judges.

Joseph N Jenson Esq Shff. John Barnes Clerk

In the record of proceedings of the same Court among others is the following to wit

Richard Widdleton and Alexander Millar use of Sarah E Reynolds use of William B. Stone
vs
Elizabeth E B Hawkins heiress of Henry S. Hawkins by Walter A Smoot her next friend.

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit, on the twenty third day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine the said Richard Widdleton and Alexander Millar by Nicholas Honestus, prosecuted and sued forth out of Charles County Court here of and upon a judgment in the said Court rendered against the estate of Maryland a fieri facias to the Sheriff of Charles County directed in the words and of the tenor following to wit. "Charles County to wit The State of Maryland to the Sheriff of Charles County Greeting. Whereas at a County Court begun and held at Port Tobacco in and