aforesaid is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here untill the First Monday in December next; the same day is given to the ~~said~~ parties there, then to hear the Judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth. And now at this day to wit the said first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said Month in the year of our Lord one Thousand eight hundred and thirty five come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the Judgment given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Abraham White John White & Polly Brand above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood and mature deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of Proceedings aforesaid nor in giving of the Decree aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the Decree aforesaid given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in anywise notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here that the said Jacob White Lewis Grofs and Kitty his wife and the Savings Bank of Baltimore have execution against the said Abraham White & John White and Polly Brand as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto them in the said Court of Chancery as also the sum of Eighteen Dollars and thirty Seven Cents adjudged unto the said Jacob White Lewis Grofs and Kitty his wife and the Savings Bank of Baltimore by the Court of Appeals here on their absent for their costs and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Decree aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Abraham White & John White and Polly Brand for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted &c

Test John Johnson Clk

Walter Pierpoint
vs
Adam Wallemyer

Be it remembered That now here on the Second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said Month in the year of our Lord one Thousand eight Hundred and thirty four the Chancellor of Maryland In pursuance of the directions of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Adam Wallemyer Complainant and Walter Pierpoint defendant and wherein the Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said Adam Wallemyer against the said Walter Pierpoint and from which said Decree the said Walter Pierpoint appealed to the Court of Appeals here the tenor of which said proceedings is in form following to wit: See Record bound in Book JJ No

And now came into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Walter Pierpoint by James Boyle his attorney as the said Adam Wallemyer by William W Hall his attorney; and thereupon on motion of the said Adam Wallemyer by his attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said Walter Pierpoint assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the Decree aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or Judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof. And the said Walter Pierpoint by his attorney aforesaid says that in the record of Proceedings aforesaid and

also in the giving of Decree aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the Decree aforesaid in the cause aforesaid given was given for the said Adam Wallemyer against the said Walter Pierpoint when by the law of the land that Decree ought to have been given for the said Walter Pierpoint against the said Adam Wallemyer therefore in that there is manifest error and he prays that the Decree aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void and that he the said Walter Pierpoint may be restored to all things he hath lost by occasion of the said Decree and that the said Adam Wallemyer may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said Adam Wallemyer join in the errors by the said Walter Pierpoint above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid or Judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. And the said Adam Wallemyer by his attorney aforesaid prays that a day may be given to him to join in the error by said Walter Pierpoint above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid untill the First Monday in December next and to him it is granted; the same day is also given to the said Walter Pierpoint. At which said First Monday in December being the first day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty four which said day was given to the said Adam Wallemyer to join in the errors by the said Walter Pierpoint above assigned come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid; And the said Adam Wallemyer by his attorney aforesaid says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Walter Pierpoint above for error assigned and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here untill the Second Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties there to hear the Judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth. At which said Second Monday in June being the eight day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty four come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here untill the First Monday in December next; the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the Judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth. And now at this day to wit, the said first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty five come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Decree given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Walter Pierpoint above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood and mature deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is error in the record of proceedings aforesaid and in the giving of the Decree aforesaid: Therefore the Court of Appeals here proceeded to pass such a Decree as the Court of Chancery should have