to the Plaintiff in this case by deed bearing date the 14th day of September in the year 1829 The lines marked thus ||||||| from A to B No 2.3.4. to 16 shows Kenedys Creek the 16th corner ending at a white oak agreable to call standing at the head of Kenedys Creek being a dividing boundary between Ignatius Digges and Walter Boyton of their parts of Brooke Court Ignatius Digges by renument obtained a warrant of resurvey bearing date the 4th of November 1760 to resurvey the following tracts or parcels of Land Viz 130a part of Crayecrofts purchase originally on the 10th day of October 1698 granted to Ignatius Crayecroft for 235a part of a tract called Hatchett originally on the 23rd day of June 1680 granted James Nuthall for 300a Little Addition originally on the 6th day of November 1698 granted Ignatius Crayecroft for 122a and two hundred and twenty five acres part of a tract called Boquascatt (alis) part of Brooke Court originally on the 26th day of April 1658 granted Baker Brooke for 200a The above lands was resurveyed and called Digges Lodge for which a patent did issue to Ignatius Digges the 22nd day of June 1663 Beginning for the resurvey is made at A on the plat a Stone standing on a point by a marsh side near the mouth of a branch commonly called Kenedys Branch the said Stone stands in the place where was proved the beginning tree of Brooke Court Manor formerly stood being also the beginning of Crayecrofts purchase and the little Addition from the said stone running with the 1st line of Brooke Court Manor

		ps			ps			
1	North	117	10	N. 45° W. . . .	12			
	to the middle of		11	N 80 W . . .	10			
	the aforesaid		12	N 71 W	20			
	Kenedys branch which		13	N 79 W	32	19	N 78¾ W	81
	branch is the bounds		14	S 86¾ W	53¾	20	N 80½ W	65½ etc
	of Ignatius Digges part		15	N 77¾ W	56		a bounded cedar post	
	of Brooke Court then		16	S 53 W	24¾		standing in the place	
	up & with the said			to a bounded white			where was proved formily	
	branch as the branch			oak standing at the			stood the NW Corner	
	runeth the 15 following			head of the Branch			bounded read oak of	
	Cours viz			aforesaid being bounded			the Hatchett formily	
2	S 86¾ W.	ps 53	17	as a dividing boundary			stood then from the post	
3	N 52 W	32		between Ignatius Digges			running part of the 4th line	
4	N 75 W. . . .	24		and Walter Boyton			of the Hatchett reversed	
5	N 58 W	44		of their parts of Brooke			and corrected	
6	N 46 W	16		Court then from the			S a E . . . . . 269 ps	
7	N 66 W. . . .	14		said Oak running			East . . . . . 33¾ to a	
8	N 81 W. . . .	32		S 80¾ W			Stone marked ON CF standing	
9	N 62 W. . . .	26	18	to the SW. Corner of			in the place where was	
				Brooke Court then with			proved by a certain	
				the 3rd Line reversed			Paul Rawlings to be	
				North			the place where	

the SW corner of third bounding tree being a Hickory of Crayecrofts purchase formily stood then from the said stone running part of the second line corrected of Crayecrofts purchase reversed 23 N 88¾ E 90 ps to the 2nd line of that part of Crayecrofts purchase now in the possession of Jonathan Ellis then with the said line 24 North . . . 73 to the given line of the said whole tract then with the said given line

25 N 87½ E . . . 140 then leaving the given line and running with the lines of said Ellises part the six following courses viz

26	S 71 E 70		to the 2nd line of the whole tract of Crayecrofts purchase
27	S 45 E 48		corrected then with the said line reversed N 88¾ E . . . 273 ps

28	So 32	32	to patuxent river side then up and with the said River
29	S 85 E . . . 20		N 20 E . . . 74 then with a straight line to the beginning
30	S 50 E 10	33	
31	S 75 E . . . . 30 to		

The Hatchett patented to James Nuthall the 23rd day of June 1680 Beginning at E the plat running

9 West . . . 44 ps
9 South . . . 145
10 WNW . . . 165
11 North . . . 320 to C the place that was proved where a cedar post stood
12 E by S . . . 60 to the S.W corner of Brooke Court and with the said land till it intersects the land formerly Greens then
14 South . . . . to the beginning

Further Explanations for the Defendant

The Defendant has located a deed from Ignatius Crayecroft to Owen Ellis for part of Crayecrofts purchase dated the 14th day of August 1724 under said deed the Defendant claims title Beginning at E on the plat at which place formerly stood a bounded chesnut oak in a branch a beginning of the said deed & running with the 2nd line of Crayecrofts purchase

1	S. 85 W	312	7	S 73 E	ps 12	Then to the beginning which
	to a Stone at D		8	S 47 E	48	location is the Defendants claim
1	N 2 W	109	9	S 2 E	22	& possession
	to the Branch		10	S 87 E	20	
2	N 88 E	40	11	S 52 E	10	
3	N 43 E	20	12	S 77 E	20	
4	N 80 E	44	13	S 63 E	16	
5	S 58 E	22		Then		
5	N 88 E	20				

The lines marked thus ||||||| from B to the end of the 2nd line of the Defendants pretensions and claim shows the Branch by which the beginning of Crayecrofts deed to Ellis for part of Crayecrofts purchase formerly stood, being a chesnut oak, the Branch is also called for at the end of the 2nd line of that deed. The Defendants Counterlocation of the Plaintiffs location of his pretensions and claim Beginning at D on the plat and running the lines No 1.2.3.4.5.

	N 2 W . . . . 109 ps		
	to the Branch . . .	35	N 2 E . . . . 79 ps
	N 88 E 40	36	S 79½ W 10
	N 43 E 20	36	S 79½ W 145
	N 80 E 44	21	S 2 E 177
	S 58 E 10	22	East . . . . . 33¾ to the Beginning at D

Crayecrofts purchase resurveyed for Ignatius Crayecroft and patented to him the 17th day of August 1652 Beginning at A on the plat and running S by W 75 prs to a marked pine tree then West 500 N by E 75 then with a straight line to the beginning

Crayecrofts purchase resurveyed for Ignatius Crayecroft and patented to him the 10th day of October 1698 Beginning at A on the plat & running

1 S 7° W . . . . 80 prs to a marked pine tree then
2 West . . . . 500 to a marked Hickory then
3 N by E . . . 75 to a marked spanish oak standing by a run side then with a straight