And now come into the Court of Appeals as well the said Elizabeth Sellman by John Glenn Joseph I Speed her attorneys, as the said Richard Bowen by Thomas Yates Walsh & Alexander Randall his attorneys and thereupon on motion of the said Richard Bowen by his attorneys it is ruled by the Court here that the said Elizabeth Sellman assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the Decree aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or Judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against her in default thereof. And the said Elizabeth Sellman by her attorneys aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of Decree aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit that by the record aforesaid it appears that the Decree aforesaid in the cause aforesaid was given for the said Richard Bowen against the said Elizabeth Sellman when by the law of the land that Decree ought to have been given for the said Elizabeth Sellman against the said Richard Bowen therefore in that there is manifest error and she prays that the Decree aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void and that she the said Elizabeth Sellman may be restored to all things which she hath lost by occasion of the said Decree and that the said Richard Bowen may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said Richard Bowen join in the errors by the said Elizabeth Sellman above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid or Judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof and the said Richard Bowen by his attorney aforesaid prays that a day may be given to him to join in the error by the said Elizabeth Sellman above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid untill the first Monday in December next, and to him it is granted the same day is also given to the said Elizabeth Sellman. At which first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty five which said day was given to Richard Bowen to join in the errors by the said Elizabeth Sellman above assigned come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: and the said Richard Bowen by his attorneys aforesaid says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Elizabeth Sellman above for error assigned and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here untill the second Monday in June next the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the Judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth. And now at this day to wit the said Second Monday in June being the thirteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty six come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Elizabeth Sellman by John Glenn and Joseph I Speed her attornies as the said Richard Bowen by Thomas Yates Walsh and Alexander Randall his attornies Whereupon agreably to an order of the said Court Somerville Pinkney Esquire being appointed a special Auditor to state an account between the parties in this cause produces and files in Court here his report and account which is in the words and figures following to wit:

The undersigned appointed Special Auditor by this Honorable Court to state the account between the parties in this cause beg leave respectfully to report, that he has examined the record in this case, and from it stated an account in which he has charged the Defendant one third of the rents and profits of the property in the proceedings returned from the first day of December 1817 to the first of May 1826 the period when the improvements were finished and from the first day of May 1826 to the date of this account according to the improved value and he has also charged interest on each years rent from the end of each year to this date making the appellee indebted to the appellant in the sum of $ 865:03

with interest on 669:01. past thereof from this date allowing the credits as hereinafter stated there being no proof of the amount of Taxes on the said property but there being in the record a list of the City and County Taxes from the year 1817 to the year 1834 which was filed by the Appellee the Appellants counsel has directed the undersigned to allow one third of the amount of said list with Interest for nine years one half of the said period which has been accordingly done. All which is respectfully submitted Somerville Pinkney Special Auditor

Cost of stating this account charged to Appellee - - - - - - - - - - - - $4.67

Dr Richard Bowen in account with Elizabeth Sellman widow of Jonathan Sellman Cr

1826

			$	cts		$	cts
May	1st	To one third of the rents and profits of House and lot on George and Granby Streets in the City of Baltimore from 1st December 1817 being 8 yrs 5 mths whole value being 87.69 one third is $29.23	246	02	By one third of Taxes allowed by direction of Appellants Counsel	82	22
					By nine years Interest	44	39
					By Balance per Contra	865	03
		To one third of the rents & profits of the said House & Lot from 1st May 1826. 10 yrs 2 mths & 12 ds whole value being $134:44 is $44:47	422	99			
		To Interest on $29.23 from 1st Decb 1818 17 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	30	89			
		To Interest on $29.23 from 1st Decb 1819 16 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	29	14			
		To Interest on $29.23 from 1st Decb 1820 15 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	26	38			
		To Interest on $29.23 from 1st Decb 1821 14 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	25	63			
		To Int on $29.23 from 1st Decb 1822 13 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	23	88			
		To Int on $ 29.23 from 1st Decb 1823 12 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	22	13			
		To Int on $ 29.23 from 1st Decb 1824 11 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	20	38			
		To Int on $29.23 from 1st Decb 1825 10 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	18	63			
		To Int on $37.37 from 1st Decb 1826 9 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	21	56			
		To Int on $41:47 from 1st Decb 1827 8 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	21	85			
		To Int on $41:47 from 1st Decb 1828 7 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	18	95			
		To Int on $41:47 from 1st Decb 1829 6 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	16	47			
		To Int on $41:47 from 1st Decb 1830 5 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	13	99			
		To Int on $41:47 from 1st Decb 1831 4 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	10	51			
		To Int on $41:47 from 1 Decb 1832 3 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	9	03			
		To Int on $41:47 from 1st Decb 1833 2 yrs 7 mths & 12 ds	6	55			
		To Int on $41:47 from 1st Decb 1834 1 yr 7 mths & 12 ds	4	07			
		To Int on $41:47 from 1st Decb 1835 11 7 mths & 12 ds	1	59			
			$	991			64
		To Balance brought from - - - - - - - -	865	03			
		Int on $669:01 from 13th July 1836 till paid					

Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Decree given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Elizabeth Sellman above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood and mature deliberation thereupon had, for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is error in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of the Decree aforesaid: Therefore the Court of Appeals here proceeded such a decree as the Court of Chancery ought to have passed: This case coming on to be heard and having been argued by counsel for both parties was by the Court maturely considered it is therefore this thirteenth day of July Eighteen hundred and thirty six by the Court of Appeals adjudged ordered and decreed that the Decree of the Chancellor in this case be and the same is hereby reversed. And it appearing to the Court here that the appellant is entitled to recover her arrears of dower from the first day of December Eighteen hundred and seventeen to this day, the said arrears with Interest thereon amounting to the sum of Eight hundred and sixty five Dollars and three cents as appears by the report of the Special Auditor appointed by the Court in this case and this day filed. It is