December Term 1842.

Anne Arundel County to wit: I hereby certify that the aforegoing is a true copy taken from Liber No. 4 No. 1 folio 311 &c. one of the land record books of Anne Arundel County - In testimony whereof I hereto set my name and affix the seal of Anne Arundel County Court this twenty seventh day of April eighteen hundred and forty.

(Seal)

Wm. S. Green, Clk. A. A. Coty. Ct.

Exhibit No. 6.

Richard S. Stewart and John MacTavish
vs
Daniel T. Hyde.

1st Lot No. 18 beginning at the letter A. upon the plats and running as follows to wit:
1 N. 41° E. 173 ft.
2 S. 27° E. 30 ft.
3 S. 14° E. 99 ft. thence to the beginning &c.

2d Lot No. 19 - Beginning upon the plats at the letter A and running as follows to wit:
1 S. 86° W. 30 ft.
2 N. 48½ W. 130 ft.
3 N. 41 E. 264 ft.
4 S. 27 E. 170 ft. thence to the beginning &c.

3d Lot No. 20. Beginning at the letter B upon the plats and running from thence as follows to wit:
1 N. 48½ W. 148½ ft.
2 N. 41° E. 264 ft.
3 S. 48½ E. 148½ ft. thence to the beginning &c.

4th Lot No. 21 Beginning at the letter C. upon the plats and running from thence as follows to wit:
1 N. 48½ W. 148½ ft.
2 N. 41 E. 264 ft.
3 S. 48½ E. 148½ ft. thence to the beginning &c.

5th Lot No. 22 - Beginning at the letter D. upon the plats and running from thence as follows to wit:
1 N. 48½ W. 148½ ft.
2 N. 41 E. 264 ft.
3 S. 48½ E. 148½ ft. thence to the beginning &c.

6th Lot No. 31 - Beginning at the letter E. upon the plats and running from thence as follows to wit:
1 N. 41° E. 165 ft.
2 S. 44° E. 160 ft.
3 S. 27° E. 398 ft. thence to the beginning &c.

The lines from 1 2 3 and 4, to the beginning shows the enclosures of John Randall on the N. W. side of the Alley.

The lines from 5 6 7 and 8 to the beginning shows the enclosures of Daniel T. Hyde on the N. W. side of the Alley.

The lines from 9 10 11 and 12, to the beginning shows the enclosures of John Randall on the S. E. side of the Alley.

The lines from 13 14 15 16 17 18 and 19 to the beginning) shows the enclosures of Daniel T. Hyde on the S. E. side of the Alley.

The lines from 20 21 22 and 23 to the beginning shows the enclosures of William Legg on the S. E. side of the Alley.

The lines from 24 25 26 27 and 28 to the beginning) shows the enclosures of Marmaduke W. Leonner.

The lines from 29 30 31 and 32 to the beginning shows the enclosures of William Legg.

The yellow shade shows the Basement of the coach house - The dotted lines from the yellow shade running N. E. to the creek shows the length of the coach house.

The dotted line from the yellow shade running S. E. to the creek shows

December Term 1842.

the width of the coach house - Given under my hand this 26th day of October 1839.

Jno. W. Duvall, S. A. A. C.

Whereupon all and singular the premises being seen heard and by the Court here fully understood for that it appears to the Court here, that the said John MacTavish and Richard S. Stewart trustees as aforesaid are entitled to recover in the plea aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the said Daniel T. Hyde take nothing by his declaration aforesaid but that he be in mercy for his false clamour thereof, and the said John MacTavish and Richard S. Stewart trustees as aforesaid do go thereof without day, and that the said John MacTavish and Richard S. Stewart have a return of the negro man aforesaid to be delivered to them irreplevisable for ever and so forth and it is also further considered by the Court here that the said John MacTavish and Richard S. Stewart trustees as aforesaid recover against the said Daniel T. Hyde the sum of ~~one~~ one hundred and thirty three dollars and twenty nine cents current money the amount of the rent in arrear with interest thereon from the thirtieth day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty as the sum of thirty two dollars and seventy eight cents and one third of a cent current money for his costs and charges by them about their suit in this behalf laid out and expended and the said Daniel T. Hyde in mercy and so forth.

Memorandum Judgment rendered in this cause on the thirtieth day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty.

Test, Wm. S. Green, Clk.

And afterwards to wit, on the eighteenth day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty one the said Daniel T. Hyde by his attorneys aforesaid prays an appeal from the Judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered to the Court of Appeals for the Western Shore of the State of Maryland to be held at the City of Annapolis on the first Monday of December next and to him it is granted. Thereupon the record and proceedings aforesaid with all things thereunto relating are transmitted to the said Court of Appeals accordingly.

Test, Wm. S. Green, Clk.

Maryland &c. I hereby certify that the aforegoing transcript is truly taken from the record of proceedings of Anne Arundel County Court - In Testimony whereof I hereto set my name and affix the seal of Anne Arundel County Court this eighteenth day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty one.

(Seal)

Wm. S. Green, Clk. A. A. Coty. Ct.

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Daniel T. Hyde by John Johnson and Reverdy Johnson his attorneys as the said John MacTavish and Richard S. Stewart Trustees of Mary Eaton by James T. Brice Somerville Pinkney and Thomas S. Alexander their attorneys; and thereupon on motion of the said John MacTavish and Richard S. Stewart Trustees as aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Daniel T. Hyde assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof and the said Daniel T. Hyde by his attorneys aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid, it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was