to let him have this place that he had got dissatisfied in a few years and that Mr. Moore had taken it off his hands and Edward had got a place in Baltimore County near the Washington Factory deponent detailed to her a conversation he had with Mrs Delia Moore concerning this land and that Mrs. Moore was under the impression that Mr Moore in taking this farm had made the investment for her of a sum of money bequeathed to her under her fathers will - that Miss Maria Hall stated in reply that she had never heard Mr. Moore say anything about that but that she had thought herself from his apparent negligence in getting the deed, that he did intend it as an investment for his wife (The defendants solicitor objects to the conversations had with Mrs Moore.-

2d. To the third Inty. he answers (The defendants solicitor excepts to this interrogatory as illegally put) that he has answered in his preceding answer the first branch of this interrogatory to which he refers for answer hereto - He further states that said Maria did state to this deponent in the conversation referred to in his answer to the second interrogatory that Mrs. Moore had wished them to make the deed of this property to her but that neither of them stated that they wished to make the deed to her - This deponent is not able at this distance of time to state particularly any conversations which he has had with Edward G. Hall or may have taken place in his presence that deponent was his counsel on several occasions and had to see him frequently and held frequent conversations with him, that the said Edward G. Hall stated to deponent that Mr. Philip Moore had paid him four thousand dollars but deponent cannot recollect at this time on what account he stated the said sum of money was paid him by Mr. Moore, and he stated in said conversation that Mr. Moore did not owe him anything since that he had made a verbal claim and accounted for the inconsistency by stating that his claim was for the interest due him on some legacy under his fathers will.-

4th - To the fourth Inty - he answers that the Exhibit B. was found by him among the papers of Philip Moore and deponent found it wrapped around sundry receipts for payments to Edward G. Hall amounting to upwards of four thousand dollars that Exhibit G. to the best of deponents recollection was handed to him by Mr. Spicer a few days after the death Mr. Moore - That the interteneations on Exhibit B are in the hand writing of Philip Moore with which he is very familiar.-

5th - To the fifth Inty. he answers that in looking over the papers of Mr. Philip Moore deponent found among them the various orders and checks now exhibited to him and numbered from 1 to 29. but deponent did not state the account of the said orders checks and drafts now shewn him and marked 30 nor is the same in his hand writing but it was found by deponent wrapped around the said checks and receipts numbered from one to twenty nine the whole being enclosed in the paper marked Complainants Exhibit B - That this deponent has no recollection of ever having seen the said Edward G. Hall write except once when deponent saw him sign his name to a deed but deponent has received three or four letters from him on business - but deponent has no certain knowledge of the character of his signature so as to swear to it positively that upon his examination of the checks and receipts now exhibited and numbered as aforesaid deponent believes the signatures thereto of Edward G. Hall to be the signature and in the hand writing of the said Edward G. Hall.

6th - To the sixth Inty. he answers that upon being shewn the paper marked 20 and his attention called to the last item under date 24th Oct. he says that the

same he believes, is in the hand writing of Philip Moore that he also recognizes in the checks and other papers numbered as above the hand writing of said Philip Moore and the signatures to the checks signed by said Moore and the bodies of said checks are in the hand writing of the said Philip Moore.

Here the Commission adjourned to Tuesday the seventeenth day of October 1837 to meet on the part of the Complainants.-

Tuesday 17th October 1837 - Commission met on the part of the complainants and at the request of complainants solicitor adjourned to the 20th day of January in the year eighteen hundred and thirty eight to meet on the part of the complainants.-

On which 20th day of January A.D. 1838 the commission met on the part of the complainants and the following depositions were taken:

Nathaniel Gordon a witness of lawful age produced sworn and examined by the complainants to the interrogatories put to him answers as follows.

1st. To the first interrogatory he says that he does not know Andrew Hall and wife knows slightly Charlotte and Maria Hall is well acquainted with Edward Hall and has known him nearly twenty years. That he knew Philip Moore.-

2d. To the second interrogatory he says that he knows the farm referred to in this Interrogatory has lived there and in the neighbourhood some twenty two or twenty three years.

3d. To the third interrogatory he says that he rented part of the said farm from the defendant Edward Hall, to the best of his knowledge about the year 1831 and continued to be the tenant of said Hall for three years or a little upwards that he then became the tenant of said Philip Moore and continued to rent said farm from Moore about four or five years - That during whole of the time he rented the said farm of Hall and Moore he resided thereon and cultivated it and paid said Hall and Moore respectively rent therefor.-

James G. Gittings a witness of lawful age produced sworn and examined by the complainants to the interrogatories put to him answers as follows.-

1st. To the first interrogatory he says that he knows all the parties and has known them for upwards of twenty years he knew said Philip Moore, and was acquainted with him upwards of twenty years.

2d. To the second interrogatory he says that he knows and has known for twenty years and upwards the lands and premises mentioned in the interrogatory.

3d. To the third interrogatory he says that the said farm was owned and possessed by Aquilla Hall the father of the defendants when deponent first knew it - The said farm continued to be owned and possessed by him until his death and in his will he left the said farm to his wife for life and after her death to his two daughters Charlotte and Maria the defendants that in the year 1821 Edward Hall, the defendant was in possession of it, and the reputed owner that deponent was in treaty in the year 1821, with the said Edward Hall the defendant for a part of the said farm and apprehending there might be difficulty about procuring the deed, he made enquiries and was satisfied that he could get a deed if he and Edward Hall agreed upon the terms - that said Edward Hall continued in possession until the year 1824, or 1825 when Mr. Moore became possessed of said farm and the said farm from that time continued in the possession of said Philip Moore up to the time of his death and he paid the rates thereon.

4th. and 5th. To the fourth and fifth interrogatories he says that he refers to preceding answer.

6th - To the sixth interrogatory he says that he knows that the said Edward