day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty two the Chancellor of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Henry Grouverman and Amelia his wife complainants and Charles R. Diffenderffer and others defendants and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said Charles R. Diffenderffer and others against the said Henry Grouverman and Amelia his wife and from which said decree the said Henry Grouverman and Amelia his wife appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit:

Maryland, Sct. - At a Court of Chancery for the State of Maryland begun and held at the City of Annapolis on the second Tuesday of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty two.

Present, The Honorable Theodorick Bland, Chancellor

Louis Gassaway, Register -

Were had (among other) the following proceedings.-

Henry Grouverman and Amelia H. Grouverman his wife.-
vs.
Charles R. Diffenderffer John F. Diffenderffer Augustus Diffenderffer James T. Diffenderffer and others.-

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit, on the fourteenth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty five Thomas Hell, Esquire Clerk of Baltimore County Court transmitted to the Court of Chancery of the State of Maryland and filed in said Court his certificate in the words following to wit:

Baltimore County Court sitting as a Court of Equity -

Henry Grouverman and Amelia H. Grouverman his wife.-
vs.
Charles R. Diffenderffer John F. Diffenderffer Augustus Diffenderffer James T. Diffenderffer Rosalla Diffenderffer Octavius Diffenderffer, Charles Rogers Diffenderffer Jacob Boyer and Michael Diffenderffer.-

15 June Bill and exhibits A. B. C. and D. filed - subpoena issued - summoned omnes - 15 June 1835 - petition of complainants for commission filed same day commission ordered - order filed - 16th June 1835 petition for commission to assign Guardian and take answers of infants same day commission ordered and issued to Wm. L. Marshall, Esq. (order fd. same day commission issued to Robt. Wilson, Jun. and James M. Nicholson, to take Testimony 16th June 1835 - W. G. appears for Catharine and Charles R. Diffenderffer and Jacob Boyer and Michael Diffenderffer) 19th June 1835, commission and answer of infants by Guardian filed - 4th Sept. 1835. H. D. G. appears for the same as W. G. - 10th. Oct. 1835 petition of complainants for the appointment of a receiver filed same day Brantz Mayer, appointed receiver (order filed) 9th. December 1835 Receivers bond filed and approved - same day suggestion of complainants and order for removal to High Court of Chancery filed -

In pursuance of the order for removal above referred to, I herewith transmit to the Honorable the High Court of Chancery of Maryland the original papers in the above named cause - In Testimony whereof I hereto subscribe my name and affix the seal of Baltimore County Court this tenth day of December eighteen hundred and thirty five.-

(Seal)

Complainants costs $24.26
Defendants costs . . . 15.00

Thos. Hell, Clk. of Balt. Coty. Cot.

And accompanying said certificate and then and

there filed therewith were certain papers and proceedings thereto fore had and taken in said County Court, a part of which are severally as follows:

To the Honorable the Judges of Baltimore County Court sitting as a Court of Equity. The bill of complaint of Henry Grouverman and Amelia H. Grouverman late Amelia H. Diffenderffer, of the City of Baltimore respectfully represents - That in eighteen hundred and five, Charles Rogers being seized of considerable real estate in the City and County of Baltimore, duly made his last will and without revoking the same died at the close of said year leaving four children - Ann Martin Mary Lee, Sarah Bailey and Catharine wife of John Diffenderffer and mother of your oratrix. That said Ann and Mary died without issue, that by a decree of the High Court of Chancery in Maryland passed at September Term in the year eighteen hundred twenty nine and by a decision of the Honorable The Judges of the Court of Appeals for the Western shore of Maryland passed at December Term of that Court in the year eighteen hundred thirty one Araminta Winder sole heiress of Sarah Bailey above named became entitled to a certain portion of the trust fund arising from and constituted by the said estate of Charles Rogers deceased and the children of said Catharine Diffenderffer namely, Amelia your oratrix Michael and Charles R. Diffenderffer to two thirds of the rents and profits of such trust fund from the thirtieth day of June in the year eighteen hundred eighteen. your orators now state and charge that said John Diffenderffer father of your oratrix claiming the same as the natural Guardian of your oratrix Amelia, or as Trustee for her, received her share of the rents and profits of her said portion of estate and at no time accounted for the same to your oratrix or to her and your orator her husband and that he so continued to receive the said rents and profits while he lived or nearly so long - your orators state and charge that the rents and profits so received by said Diffenderffer, with interest thereon amounted to at least sixteen thousand dollars, even charging such interest as simple interest only, but your orators aver that said Diffenderffer used said moneys so received by him for the rents and profits aforesaid mixed with his own means and in his own business, whereby he became liable for the same with enhanced interest and ought so to have accounted therefor to your orators - But so it is that tho' your oratrix Amelia applied to him for an account and that said Diffenderffer would duly inform her of the extent of his indebtedness to her, yet the said Diffenderffer at no time gratified her request in that respect - your orators now state and charge that said Diffenderffer being thus indebted to your oratrix stated to her in or about the month of December in the year eighteen hundred and thirty four that he desired to convey to your oratrix a lot with a dwelling house thereon situate on Gay street in the City of Baltimore at one time pretending and giving your oratrix to understand that he designed such conveyance as a gift to your oratrix and at another time intimating to her that she meant to convey said lot as a partial satisfaction of your oratrix's claim for said rents and profits - And your oratrix states that in answer to such intimations and suggestions respecting a conveyance on account of said claim she avowed to said Diffenderffer her entire ignorance of the expediency or merits of such a transaction and submitted herself, in that respect to his parental protection and superior knowledge and expressed her inability under-