June Term 1844

eighteen hundred and twenty seven on motion of said Francis Belmear a writ was ordered to be issued to put the said Francis Belmear in the possession of the premises, and the same having been issued to October was at October Term eighteen hundred and twenty seven quashed - A motion to quash the said writ of Venditioni Exponas and the return thereof - and the writ of fieri facias and the return thereof was overruled and a new writ ordered to put the said Francis Belmear in possession of the premises - And whereas the above bound Walter S. Clarke hath prayed an appeal from the said order of April Term eighteen hundred and twenty seven, the decision of the Court overruling the motion to quash the writ of Venditioni Exponas and the return thereof and the writ of fieri facias and the return thereof aforesaid and the order of October Term eighteen hundred and twenty seven that a new writ be issued to put the said Francis Belmear in the possession of the premises - Now the condition of the above obligation is such that if the said Walter S. Clarke shall not cause a transcript of the record and proceedings of the said judgment and orders aforesaid to be transmitted to the Court of Appeals to be holden for the Western Shore within the time required by Law and prosecute the said appeal with effect and also satisfy and pay unto the said Francis Belmear his heirs executors administrators or assigns in case the said judgment and order shall be affirmed as well the damages and costs adjudged or recovered in the County Court aforesaid as also all damages and costs that may be awarded by the Court of Appeals aforesaid then this bond to be void and remain in full force and virtue otherwise of no effect. -

Signed sealed and delivered in the presence of - John B. Magruder

Walter S. Clarke (Seal)
Walter Bowie (Seal)
Richd. Peach (Seal)
Thomas Magruder (Seal)

In pursuance whereof and of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided a transcript of the proceedings of the judgment and orders aforesaid with all things thereunto relating is hereby transmitted to the said Court of Appeals to be held for the Western Shore. -

Test, Aquila Beall, Clk

State of Maryland Prince George's County Sct. I hereby certify that the transcript and proceedings within contained and faithfully and truly taken and copied from the Record of proceedings of Prince George's County Court - In Testimony whereof I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the seal of said County Court this eighth day of January eighteen hundred and twenty eight. - (Seal)

Aquila Beall, Clk. of Prince George's County Court. -

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Walter S. Clarke by Francis S. Key Richard Peach Alexander C. Magruder and Julius Forrest his attorneys, as the said Francis Belmear by John Johnson John B. Brooke and Nicholas Stonestreet his attorneys and thereupon on motion of the said Francis Belmear by his attorneys aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Walter S. Clarke assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in giving the judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof - and the said Walter S. Clarke by his attorneys aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid there is manifest

June Term 1844

error in this to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Francis Belmear against the said Walter S. Clarke when by the law of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said Walter S. Clarke against the said Francis Belmear, therefore in that there is manifest error; and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error, and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void, and that he the said Walter S. Clarke may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said judgment and that the said Francis Belmear may repair to the errors aforesaid, and so forth - And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said Francis Belmear join in the errors by the said Walter S. Clarke above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof and the said Francis Belmear by his attorneys aforesaid says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the Judgment aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination, as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Walter S. Clarke above for error assigned and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth - Thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the second Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the Judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth - At which said second Monday in June being the ninth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight - come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid - Whereupon the said Francis Belmear, by John Johnson John B. Brooke, and Nicholas Stonestreet his attorneys, it is moved that the said appeal be dismissed - Thereupon it is ruled by the Court here, that the said motion be heard on Tuesday the 17th day of June instant and before the time of the hearing of the motion the said Francis Belmear, by his attorneys aforesaid ask leave that the said motion be withdrawn; But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises for that the Court now here as yet are not &c. At which said first Monday in December being the first day of the said month in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid - Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the second Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises and so forth - And now at this day to wit, the said second Monday in June being the eighth day of