this conversation - at witnesses store I applied to him to obtain a loan of about five hundred dollars on mortgage, he said, he would loan me the money, that the security offered was good, but he wanted to purchase two or three negroes more, for a certain purpose as it would require that many hands to work the farm he had lately purchased from Thomas I Hellen - To the 3d Interrogatory answers yea that the thought that if he could buy Walter Yoes Farm, in addition to the one he had purchased from Mr. Hellen that it would make a good estate for the child, he then spoke of forty acres that he was about to contract for with Gamaliel Bowen that added to the original tract purchased from Mr. Hellen and with Walter Yoe farm would make it more complete and bring him out to the main road. - To the 4th Interrogatory answers say he does not recollect to have heard him say so. -

The Caveators thereupon exhibit to the Court here the following cross interrogatories to be put to the said witness. -

1st. Where did the first conversation between yourself and Joshua Sedwick alluded to in your examination in chief take place - 2d. Was any one present at the time of said conversation? if yea who were they. -?

3d. Are you positive that the said Joshua in his conversation with you stated that he had been badly treated by his wife's relations? Did he not rather say that he had been bady treated by his own relations - 4th - Was he or not at that time on bad terms with his own relations? was there or not a coolness existing between him and them? 5th. Was the said Joshua in the habit of advising with you in relation to his private affairs? 6th Are you a native of Calvert County - 7th What was the conduct of the said Joshua towards his child? was it in general kind or the reverse? - To which said witness answers as follows. -

To the first cross interrogatory answers the conversation refered to took place at witness store, yea Benjamin Dorsey was in the Store but whether he heard the conversation - To the 2d. He does not know, Witness does not recollect whether any other person was present this occured, while the witness was selling the goods and afterwards - To the 3d Interrogatory answers that he is positive, that he Sedwick, said that he had been badly treated by his wife's relations and not his own?

To the 4th - Answers nay, that he does not know, or has no knowledge of it. -

To the 5th Interrogatory answers that he had been repeatedly at witness store and witness had frequently been at said Joshua Sedwicks House; on visits, he had frequently been at his Sedwicks House, hardly ever passed without calling in to see him - To the 6th - cross interrogatory answers that he is not - To the 7th interrogatory answers that his conduct towards his child was fond and spoke of it, in the fondest terms. -

John I. Gray a witness of lawful age is thereupon produced on the part of the caveators and being duly sworn and examined on the Interrogatories of the said Caveators already filed deposeth as follows:

To the 1st Interrogatory, answers that he had been acquainted with the said Joshua Sedwick ten or twelve years. -

To the 2d. Interrogatory answers yea that he was on terms of intimacy with said Joshua Sedwick, had a very slight acquaintance with Mrs. Sedwick, his wife. - To the 3d. Interrogatory answers, he came along with Mr. Sedwick from his lower farm, on St. Leonards Creek witness told Mr. Sedwick he had understood he had a fine son, he said he had,

witnesses told said Sedwick, he expected, he would not stop short of a half dozen he told me he did not want any more if he could raise that child, that he never knew what he was working for until he had that child, that he had enough to keep that child from want as long as it lived. -

The Caveatees thereupon exhibit the following cross interrogatories to be put to the said witness. -

1st. Where did you reside, with the period of your acquaintance with Joshua Sedwick how far from his residence - 2d What do you mean to convey by the statement that you were on terms of intimacy with said Sedwick - had he or not in the habit of talking of his business to you and consulting with you - 3d. At what time did the conversation spoken of in your answer to 2d interrogatory occur between you and Joshua Sedwick - To which cross interrogatories the said witness answers. -

To the first Interrogatory answers and saith seven or eight miles - To the 2d. Interrogatory answers and says that he was not in the habit of taking with said Sedwick on matters of business! To the 3d. Interrogatory answers and says about two years ago and the Caveators aforesaid exhibit the following further interrogatories to the said witness - How long was it after you had heard of the birth of the child of said Joshua Sedwick that you had the foregoing conversation with the said Joshua - To which the said witness answers, that he does not know how long - The Court here there upon adjourns to meet again to morrow morning at 9 o'clock at which time to wit on the 1st day of December in the year 1843 the Court again assembles and the parties aforesaid by their proctors aforesaid also appears -

Whereupon John Parran a witness of law full age is produced by the Caveators aforesaid and being duly sworn and examined on the interrogatories already filed by them answers as follows:

To the first interrogatory answers yea, and that he was acquainted with him, the said Joshua about twenty five years - To the 2d. Interrogatory answers he was - To the 3d Interrogatory answers, that he was once in company with Mr. Sedwick wife and child on the public road in the Spring of 1842 in the month of April or all day and conversed with Mr. Joshua Sedwick and wife a few minutes whilst in conversation with Mr Sedwick and wife he called to his servant who carried the child in his arms and asked witness did he not wish to see his fine boy to which witness replied yes, the servant brought the child up where we were setting on our horses, he the said Joshua directed the servant to take the shawl and bonnet off the child, he then asked witness after examination of the child if witness did not think the child was very much like him to which witness replied yes, he thought it very much like him - On that occasion he the said Joshua exhibited the kindest feelings of affection towards his wife and child he the said Joshua then invited witness and wife to come and see him and wife - To the 4th Interrogatory answers that on one occasion in the latter part of June or July 1844 witness fell in with the said Joshua on the road to St. Leonards, our conversation on that occasion commenced relative to a misunderstanding between said Joshua and the Revd Mr. Buck, the then minister in the parish in which the said Joshua resided Mr. Sedwick said he did not