of the said Jacob Schaub who in our presence but out of the presence and hearing of her said husband signed sealed and acknowledged the within Indenture to be her act and deed and that she released and relinquished all her right of dower in the property and premises therein conveyed and the said Susanna being by us also examined out of the presence and hearing of her husband whether she doth execute and acknowledge the same voluntarily and freely and without being induced to do so by fear or threats of or ill usage by her husband or by fear of his displeasure she acknowledges and says that she doth so being satisfied from oral testimony under oath received by us that they are the persons named and described as and professing to be the grantors in said deed or Instrument of writing - In Testimony whereof we have hereunto subscribed our names on the day and year aforesaid. Thos B Bottinger, William Warfield.

Received to be recorded the 2d day of March 1838 at 12 o'clock all same day recorded and examined per - Thos Kell, Clk.

In testimony that the aforegoing is a true copy taken from Liber T.K.No. 277 folio 123 &c. one of the Land Records of Baltimore County Court - I hereto set my hand and affix the seal of said Court this 8th day of February 1839 - Thos Kell, Clk

(Seal)

Thereupon issued subpoena in form following to wit:

The State of Maryland, To Edward Winschhof and Jacob Schaub of Baltimore County Greeting. We command and enjoin you that all excuses set aside you be in your persons before the Judges of Baltimore County Court, at the Court House in said County on the first day of March next, to answer the complaint of Charles Tennor permanent Trustee of Jacob Schaub against you in the said Court exhibited hereof fail not as you will answer the contrary at your peril. Witness the Honorable Stevenson Archer Chief Judge of our said Court the first day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty nine. Issued the 22d day of February 1839 - Thos Kell, Clk

At which said first day of March to wit, in the year aforesaid being the return day of the aforegoing subpoena the sheriff of the County aforesaid to whom the said subpoena was delivered to be served made return of the same to the Court here thus endorsed to wit "Non Sunt - Jno. W. Wacker, Shff" and afterwards on the fourth day of March in the year aforesaid on application of the complainant by his solicitor aforesaid the subpoena aforesaid was renewed in form following to wit:

The State of Maryland, To Edward Winschhof and Jacob Schaub of Baltimore County Greeting - We command and enjoin you that all excuses set aside you be in your persons before the Judges of Baltimore County Court at the Court House in said County on the first Monday of April next to answer the complaint of Charles Tennor Permanent Trustee of Jacob Schaub against you in the said Court exhibited. Hereof fail not as you will answer the contrary at your peril - Witness the Honorable Stevenson Archer Chief Judge of our said Court the first day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty nine. Issued the 4th day of March 1839 - Thos Kell, Clk

At which said first Monday of April to wit in the year aforesaid being the return day of the aforegoing subpoena the sheriff of the County aforesaid to whom the said subpoena was delivered to be served made return of the same to the Court here thus endorsed to wit - "Summoned ambo John W. Wacker, Shff" And afterwards to wit on the twenty fifth

day of March in the year aforesaid came into Court here the said Edward Winschhof by John P. L. McMahon and William H. Collins Esquires his solicitors - The said cause thereupon standing, was continued until the May Term of the said Court in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty nine at which term to wit, on the twenty second day of May in the year aforesaid the said Edward Winschhof by his solicitors aforesaid filed in said Court here his answer to the Bill of Complaint in this cause in form following to wit.

To the Hon. the Judges of Baltimore County Court sitting as a Court of Equity - The answer of Jacob Schaub of the City of Baltimore to the bill of complaint of Charles Tennor filed against him and Edward Winschhof of the said City most respectfully states that this respondent reserving to himself all and all manner of exception to the said Bill and to the manifold uncertainties and misstatements therein contained for answer thereto or so much thereof as he is informed it is proper and competent for him to answer for answer thereto saith - That he admits that on or about the ninth day of April 1828, he applied for the benefit of the Insolvent Laws of the State of Maryland and that the said Charles Tennor was duly appointed his permanent Trustee. He further admits that being indebted to his son in law Edward Winschhoff in the sum of $1784.00, or thereabout at the earnest solicitation and frequent request of the said Winschhoff he executed to him a deed of certain property therein mentioned and described and he believes that complainants Exhibit A to be a true copy of said deed but he denies that at the time of the execution of the said deed he expected to be and become an Insolvent Debtor or to apply for the benefit of the Insolvent Laws but on the contrary he states that at that time he expected to obtain the situation of keeper of the Court House in the City of Baltimore and if he had obtained that situation he would have been able to pay all his just debts and would not have been as he verily believes compelled to apply for the benefit of the Insolvent Laws of the State of Maryland as he was afterwards obliged to do. He further admits that some short time before his application he sold his stock in trade and some articles of house hold furniture to Edward Winschoff his son in law for the sum of about $200 which he believes was the full value thereof. He further states that the said House and Lot mentioned in the aforesaid deed from this respondent to the said Winschoff was conveyed to this respondent under the following circumstances some time in the summer or fall of 1832 one Dominick Figuetti who was then the owner of the said House and Lot and had rented the same to your respondent for a number of years was desirous to sell the same and applied to your respondent to purchase the same himself or to get some else to purchase it who would permit this respondent to occupy the same as he had done for some years before your respondent not then having the necessary funds to spare applied to his son in law William H. Benson Senior who agreed to purchase the same at the price demanded by the said Figuetti and shortly afterwards acquainted the said Figuetti with the fact that he had procured a purchaser for his house and Lot without telling who the purchaser was a few days after he had so acquainted the said Figuetti the said Figuetti called on this respondent with a deed already executed from the said Figuetti and wife to this respondent for