explanations in like manner may be used, and that neither the plats nor the explanations need be copied into the Transcript for the Court of Appeals. It is agreed also that the plats of the City designating the improvements to be made under the ordinance of 1823, may be also used without being copied with the Transcript and likewise the plat of Fells Addition to Baltimore Town of 1773.

Charles F. Mayer Plff's Atty.
17th Apl. '43. Wm. F. Giles } Deft's Atty.
Reverdy Johnson }

Caseys Lessee vs. Inloes and others. | Baltimore County Court. It is agreed that all the ordinances and laws given in evidence in the above case may be made in the Court of Appeals from Printed laws and ordinances and that the same shall not be copied in the Record. It is also agreed that the Plot from the City Atlas of 1824 offered in evidence shall not be inserted into the Record, but a copy of the same by A. I. Bouldin may be used in the Court of Appeals.

G. L. Dulany, Atty for Plffs.
R. Johnson, }
William F. Giles, } Deft's Atty's

And thereupon the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid pray an appeal from the Judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered to the Court of Appeals for the Western Shore of the State of Maryland and to them it is granted. It is therefore ordered by the Court here that a transcript of the Record and proceedings in the cause aforesaid with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the said Court of Appeals and the same is transmitted accordingly.

Test, Thos. Kell, Clk.

In Testimony that the aforegoing is a full and true transcript of the proceedings in the cause aforesaid taken from the record and proceedings of Baltimore County Court. I have hereto subscribed my name and affixed the seal of said Court this twenty twenty second day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty three.

Seal

Thos. Kell, Clk. Balto. Cty. Crt.

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said John Doe Lessee of Elizabeth Casey by Grafton L. Dulany and Charles F. Mayer his attorneys as the said William Inloes, Joshua Inloes and others by William F. Giles and Reverdy Johnson their attorneys and thereupon, on motion of the said William Inloes Joshua Inloes and others by their attorneys aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the judgment aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court of Appeals here, will be entered against him in default thereof. And the said John Doe, Lessee as aforesaid by his attorneys aforesaid, says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid, it appears that the judgment aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said William Inloes Joshua Inloes and others against the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid when by the law of the land, that judgment ought to have been given for the

said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid against the said William Inloes and Joshua Inloes and others therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely void, and that he the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said judgment, and that the said William Inloes Joshua Inloes and others may reply to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said William Inloes, Joshua Inloes and others join in the errors by the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid or judgment by the Court of Appeals here, will be rendered against them in default thereof. And the said William Inloes, Joshua Inloes and others by their attorneys aforesaid pray that a day may be given to them to join in the error, by the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid until the first Monday in December next, and to them it is granted; the same day is also given to the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid. At which said first Monday in December being the fourth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty three, which said day was given to the said William Inloes, Joshua Inloes and others to join in the errors by the said John Doe, Lessee as aforesaid above designed, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid, and the said William Inloes Joshua Inloes and others by their attorneys aforesaid say, there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here, will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed, and so forth. Thereupon further proceedings of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there, then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth. At which said second Monday in June being the Tenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty four come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attorneys aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday in December next to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here, as yet are not &c. And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in December being the second day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty four come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record