their attorneys aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals hereon the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not &c. And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in December being the first day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty five come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Artemisia Budd, by her attornies aforesaid, as the said John Doe, Lessee as aforesaid by his attornies aforesaid and filed in Court here an agreement to amend the record in this cause which said agreement, and amendment are in the words and tenor as follows to wit:

Budd. vs. Brookes Lessee. | Court of Appeals - Decr. Term 1845. - It is hereby agreed that the Record does truly state those explanations of defendants location and counterlocations which were offered in evidence by the plaintiff as shewn in the bills of Exception but the record transmitted to the Court of Appeal is defective in omitting all the deft. explanations of her locations and counterlocations and which explanations it is hereby agreed may be read from the copy thereof endorsed Deft. explanations B. B. C. &c. and filed herewith to be considered the same as if said explanations were returned and duly certified to by the Clerk of Charles County Court under a writ of diminution. - And it is further agreed that the accompanying papers herewith filed marked and endorsed "plaintiffs explanations D. and E. &c. &c." may be filed as an amendment of said record, so as to shew the several calls in said explanations for red B. which is errone—— described as black B. in several of the explanations transmitted to the Court of Appeals as part of said Record. -

Peter W. Crain for Appellant
R. J. Brent, for Appellee. -

Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by by the said Artemisia Budd, above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is error in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of the judgment aforesaid it is manifestly erred - Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the judgment aforesaid for those errors, and others in the record and proceedings aforesaid, be reversed, annulled, and held entirely absord - and that the said Artemisia Budd, be restored to all things which, by reason of the judgment aforesaid, she hath lost, and that she the said Artemisia Budd, go thereof without day, &c. It is also considered by the Court here, that the said Artemisia Budd, recover against the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid, the sum of four hundred and seventy dollars and fifty seven cents adjudged unto the said Artemisia Budd, for her costs and charges by her sustained about the prosecution of her said appeal, of and upon the premises aforesaid prosecuted, and that the said Artemisia Budd, have thereof



execution &c.

Ex. Test, Richard W. Gill, Clerk. -

Henry W. Cooke Claimant of the property attached of Lewis Hurdathal. vs. Andrew Hack, Andrew A. Hack, and William A. Hack Junr. | Be it remembered, That now here on the first Monday in December being the fourth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty three the Chief Judge and Associate Judges of the Sixth Judicial District of Maryland in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the County Court of Baltimore County, between Andrew Hack Andrew A. Hack and William A. Hack, Junior, plaintiffs and Henry W. Cooke, Claimant of the property attached of Lewis Hurdathal defendant, and wherein the said County Court gave judgment for the said Andrew Hack Andrew A. Hack and William A. Hack, Junior against the said Henry W. Cooke, Claimant as aforesaid and from which said judgment the said Henry W. Cooke Claimant as aforesaid appealed to the Court of Appeals here the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following, to wit:

The State of Maryland Baltimore County To wit:
At a County Court of the Sixth Judicial District of the State of Maryland begun and held at the Court House in the City of Baltimore in and for the County aforesaid on the second day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty three -

Present, The Honourable Stevenson Archer, Chief Judge
Richard B. Magruder
John Purviance Esquires Asso. Judges.
Nicholas Tracy Esquire Sheriff - Thomas Kell, Clerk. -

Among other were the following proceedings to wit:

Andrew Hack, Andrew A. Hack, and William A. Hack, Junior. vs. Henry W. Cooke, Claimant of the property attached of Lewis Hurdathal. | Be it remembered that heretofore to wit, on the fourteenth day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty one John W. Pealer esquire one of the Justices of the peace of the State of Maryland in and for the City of Baltimore

pursuant to an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided sent to the Clerk of Baltimore County Court here a certain affidavit made before him with an account thereto annexed together with the warrant of him the said John W. Peale under his hand and seal which affidavit account and warrant are in form following to wit:

State of Maryland, Baltimore City To wit: Be it remembered that on the fourteenth day of October in the year one thousand