our and every of our heirs executors and administrators jointly and severally firmly by these presents, sealed with our seals and dated this twenty sixth day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty seven.—

Whereas at a County Court, begun and held at Leonard Town, in and for Saint Mary's County, on the first Monday of August in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty five the above named Thomas C. Watkins, Francis D. Dungan and George Rust, Jr. by the Judgment of the said Court, recovered against the above bound Joseph Morgan as well the sum of three hundred and eleven dollars and thirty nine cents current money a certain debt as the sum of six hundred dollars for their damages which they had sustained by reason of the detention of that debt as also the sum of five dollars and sixty eight and one third cents for their costs and charges by them about their suit in that behalf laid out and expended whereof the said Joseph Morgan is convict as it appeareth of record. From which said Judgment the said Joseph Morgan hath prayed an appeal to the next Court of Appeals, to be held for the Western shore of the State of Maryland. Now the condition of the above obligation is such, that if the said Joseph Morgan shall not cause a transcript of the record and proceedings of the said Judgment to be transmitted to the Court of Appeals, to be holden for the Western shore, within the time required by law, and prosecute the said appeal with effect, and also satisfy and pay to the said Thomas C. Watkins Francis D. Dungan and George Rust, Jun. their executors administrators and assigns in case the said Judgment shall be affirmed, as well the debt damages and costs adjudged in the County Court aforesaid as also all damages and costs that may be awarded by the Court of Appeals aforesaid, then the said bond to be and remain in full force and virtue, otherwise to be of no effect.—

Signed sealed and delivered in the presence of Wm. T. Maddox, as to Jos & Thos. W.

John Morgan (Seal)
J. B. Perrie (Seal)
Thomas W. Morgan (Seal)

Which said bond and the securities therein are by the said clerk approved of. It is thereupon ordered that a transcript of the record and proceedings in the plea aforesaid with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the Court of Appeals to be held for the Western shore of the State of Maryland and the same is transmitted accordingly.—

| | |
|---|---|
| Plaintiffs costs | $5.68⅔ |
| Defendants costs | 30 |
| Defendants costs on appeal and making out record for Court of Appeals | 5.78⅓ |

Test. Wm. T. Maddox, Clerk.—

Saint Mary's County to wit: I hereby certify that the aforegoing is truly taken from the record of proceedings of Saint Mary's County Court.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of the said County Court this tenth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty seven. (Seal) Wm. T. Maddox, Clk. of St. Mary's County Court.—

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Joseph Morgan in his own proper person as the said Watkins Dungan and



Rust by Benjamin G. Harris their attorney, and thereupon, on motion of the the said Watkins, Dungan and Rust by their attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Joseph Morgan assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the judgment aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof; and the said Joseph Morgan in his own proper person aforesaid says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid, it appears that the judgment aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Watkins Dungan and Rust against the said Joseph Morgan when by the law of the land, that judgment ought to have been given for the said Joseph Morgan against the said Watkins Dungan and Rust therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely for void, and that he the said Joseph Morgan may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said judgment, and that the said Watkins Dungan and Rust may join to the errors aforesaid, and so forth. And thereupon, it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Watkins, Dungan and Rust join in the errors by the said Joseph Morgan above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here, will be rendered against them in default thereof. And the said Watkins, Dungan and Rust by their attorney aforesaid pray that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said Joseph Morgan above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next, and to this it is granted; the same day is also given to the said Joseph Morgan.—

At which said first Monday in December being the sixth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty seven, which said day was given to the said Watkins, Dungan and Rust to join in the errors by the said Joseph Morgan above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid; and the said Watkins Dungan and Rust, by their attorney aforesaid, say, there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Joseph Morgan above for error assigned, and that the judgment may be in all things affirmed, and so forth.— Thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties there, then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth.—

And now at this day to wit, the said second Monday in June being the twelfth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty eight come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties