charges by him about his suit in that behalf had and expended, whereof the said Daniel Dukes
is convicted as by the record and proceedings of the said County Court, which was lately caused to be
brought into the Court of Appeals held for the Eastern Shore of the said State, at Easton in Talbot Coun-
ty for certain causes of error in the same to be corrected, is manifest. And whereas also, afterwards
to wit, in the said Court of Appeals held for the Eastern Shore of the said State, at Easton in Talbot
County, on the first Monday of June in the year of our Lord 1819, the said Anthony Rose did recover
judgment against the said Daniel Dukes for one other sum of Nine Dollars forty eight & one third
cents, which unto the said Anthony Rose was by the said Court of Appeals adjudged for his costs and
charges which he had sustained by reason of the delay of the execution of the aforesaid judgment by pretence of
prosecuting an Appeal and upon the said Judgment by him the said Daniel Dukes, before the
said Court of Appeals, whereon that Judgment was by the said Court of Appeals in all things affirmed
as by the record & proceedings of the said Court manifestly appears: For which said debt damages
costs and charges, the said Daniel Dukes and a certain Robt. Stevens, Saml. Lucas, Elijah Satterfield
Solomon Richardson on the 3 day of June in the year of our Lord 1820, appeared before Frederick
Holbrook Esq.r one of the Justices of the Orphans Court for Caroline County, and did confess judg-
ment to the said Anthony Rose, the said debt, damages costs and charges aforesaid, to be
levied of their bodies, goods and chattels, lands and tenements, for the use of the said Anthony
Rose in case the said Daniel Dukes, should not pay or satisfy to the said Anthony Rose, the said
debt damages costs and charges, so as aforesaid recovered against him according to the act of
Assembly in such case made & provided with the additional costs thereon, at the respective
times herein after mentioned to wit, One third part thereof, with the interest due on the whole
sum, and all the Costs, on or before the thirtieth day of November 1820; one third part thereof, with
the interest on the whole sum then unpaid, with the additional costs, on or before the first day of
May ~~next~~ in the year eighteen hundred and twenty one; and the residue thereof with the interest
thereon, and additional costs, on or before the thirtieth day of November in the year eighteen hundred
and twenty one; which said Confession in form aforesaid taken was by the said Justice returned to the
Court of Appeals here as of record is manifest; Whereof the said Dukes, Stevens, Lucas, Satterfield
& Richardson are convicted, as of record is also manifest: And Whereas you were heretofore, to wit
on the 3 day of May in the year eighteen hundred & twenty one commanded that of the goods and
chattels, lands and tenements of the said Dukes, Stevens, Lucas, Satterfield & Richardson, if in
your bailiwick they should be found, you should cause to be made & levied two thirds of the debt
with all Int.t & Costs, and when you had the same so made & levied, or any part thereof, the same in
your custody should safely keep, so that you might have the same before the Court of Appeals,
to be held at Easton, in Talbot County, on the first Monday of June then next following, to satisfy unto
the said Anthony Rose the debt, damages, costs, and charges aforesaid, and that thereof you should
not fail at your peril, and that you should have then and there this writ, — At the said first Monday
of June in the year 1821, you made return thereof to our Judges, that by virtue of the writ aforesaid
to you directed, you had taken in execution certain goods & chattels lands & tenements of the said
defendants, to wit, "1 brown Mare $50. 1 second hand Gig and harness $120. 1 brown horse $30. 1 yoke of oxen 60
200 acres of land called Dudleys chance $1250 3 head of horses $180. all that tract of land called Puzzle
which Elijah Satterfield purchased of L. B. Lockerman supposed to contain 100 acres — all subject to
prior Levys, which said goods & chattels lands & tenements remained in your hands for want of buyers:
We therefore command you, "that you sell, or cause to be sold, the said goods & chattels, lands and
tenements and every part thereof and the sum of Money arising therefrom, you have before the said
Court of Appeals, to be held at Easton in Talbot County, on the last Monday of November next
to satisfy unto the said Anthony Rose, the debt, damages, costs, and charges aforesaid. Hereof
fail not at your peril and have you then and there this writ. Witness the honorable Jeremiah
T. Chase Esquire Chief Judge of our said Court the 8 day of June 1822.

T. B. Issued the 20th day of June 1822. James Parrott Clk. —

And now at this day to wit, the last Monday in November, (being the twenty fifth day of the same
month) in the year 1822, and the return day of the last aforegoing writ comes into the Court
of Appeals here the said Anthony Rose by his Attorney aforesaid, and the late Sheriff of the County
aforesaid to whom the said writ was in form aforesaid directed makes return thereof to the Court
here thus endorsed To Wit. Goods & Chattels of Daniel Dukes sold to satisfy fieri facias.

Lands & tenements of Daniel Dukes sold & satisfied Shff. $200.00 property of Securities
stillen hand for want of buyers. Wm. McDonald late Shff. —

Attest. James Parrott Clk. —

Perry Spencer, use of Peter Stevens vs Rigby Hopkins

Peter Stevens as to $700. with interest from 1st May 1818 & $8.00 Costs —

Be it remembered that now here on the last Monday in November being the thirtieth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighteen. the chief Judge and associate Judges of the second Judicial District of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly, in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the County Court of Talbot between Perry Spencer, plaintiff, and Rigby Hopkins, defendant, and wherein the said County Court gave judgment for the said Perry Spencer against the said Rigby Hopkins, and from which said judgment the said Rigby Hopkins appealed to the Court of Appeals here; the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit." State of Maryland Talbot County to wit. At a County Court of the State of Maryland begun and held for Talbot County at Easton in said County on the second Monday of November being the ninth day of the same month in the year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and eighteen, before the honourable the Judges of the same County Court and other Officers duly commissioned and qualified to hold the same Court.

Wm Rusnt. — Richard Tilghman Earle Esquire Chief Judge.

The Honble — Samuel Dulaney, Thomas Worrell } Esquires Associate Judges

Wm. William Thomas Esquire Sheriff. — Jacob Lockerman Clk.

In the record of proceedings of the same Court among others were the following to wit.

Perry Spencer vs Rigby Hopkins — Be it remembered that heretofore, to wit, on the sixth day of November in the year eighteen hundred and seventeen Perry Spencer in this cause by William Hayward junior Esquire his Attorney, prosecuted and sued forth out of the County Court held the Writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum, directed to the Sheriff of Talbot County in form following, to wit. Talbot County to wit. The State of Maryland, to the Sheriff of Talbot County Greeting: We command you that you take Rigby Hopkins late of Talbot County, yeoman, if he shall be found in your bailiwick and him safe keep so that you have his body before the Judges of our County Court to be held at Easton, in the said County on the second Monday of November instant to answer unto Perry Spencer in a plea that he render unto him the sum of twelve hundred and seven dollars and twelve and an half cents current money of Maryland which to him he owes and unjustly detains and so forth. And of fail not at your peril and have you then and there this Writ. Witness the Honourable Richard Tilghman Earle Chief Judge of our said Court the 19th day of May anno Domini 1817. Issued the 8th day of November 1817. Wm. J. Lockerman Clk.

At which said second Monday of November inst. in the year eighteen hundred and seventeen, being the return day of the foregoing writ, comes into Court here the said Perry Spencer by his Attorney aforesaid, and the Sheriff of the County aforesaid to whom the said writ was in form aforesaid directed makes return thereof to Court here thus endorsed to wit." Bepi S. Maryland Shff. And the said Rigby Hopkins being called appears in Court here by Thomas James Bullitt Esquire his Attorney; thereupon the said Perry Spencer by his said Attorney prays leave of the Court here to imparle until the third Monday of May next, & he hath it, the same day is given to the said Rigby Hopkins then &c.

At which said third Monday of May next, to wit, in the year eighteen hundred and eighteen come again into Court here the parties aforesaid, by their Attorneys aforesaid, whereupon on motion of the said Rigby Hopkins by his said Attorney, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Perry Spencer declare against the said Rigby Hopkins in the plea aforesaid on the second Monday of November next, otherwise judgment will be rendered against him in default thereof, the same day is given to the said Rigby Hopkins then &c.