And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Lambert Reardon by John Leeds Kerr Esquire his Attorney as the said Richard Harrington by William Hayward Junior Esquire his Attorney: And the said Richard Harrington by his Attorney aforesaid says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of the judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in that to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Lambert Reardon against the said Richard Harrington whereby the Law of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said Richard Harrington against the said Lambert Reardon, therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he the said Richard Harrington may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said judgment; and that the said Lambert Reardon may rejoin to the errors aforesaid &c. And the said Lambert Reardon by his Attorney aforesaid, says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the Matters aforesaid by the said Richard Harrington above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed &c.

But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the last Monday in November next to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here thereof are not advised &c. At which said last Monday in November being the twenty sixth day of the said Month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty one come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their Attornies aforesaid: thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises &c. And now at this day to wit the said first Monday in June being the third day of the said Month in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred twenty two come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attornies aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record of proceedings aforesaid, and the judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Richard Harrington above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood and mature deliberation thereupon had for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid: therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed, and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alledged in any wise notwithstanding; and it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here that the said Lambert Reardon have execution against the said Richard Harrington as well for the debt, damages, costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said County Court of Talbot, as also for the sum of Nine Dollars fifty eight and a third cents adjudged unto the said Lambert Reardon by the Court of Appeals here, on his appeal for his costs & charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said Appeal by the said Richard Harrington for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted &c.

Memorandum. Judgment was affirmed in this case on the 8 day of June 1822, and execution awarded for the sum of $81.56 with interest thereon from the 13th day of April 1819. title paid and $6.00 costs in County Court and $19.58½ costs in Court of Appeals.

Attest James Parrott Clk.

And be it remembered that on the third day of August Eighteen hundred and twenty two the said Lambert Reardon by Thomas Jenkins Bullitt Esquire his Attorney prosecuted & sued forth out of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid in the said Court of Appeals affirmed, a Writ of the State of Maryland of Fieri facias to the Sheriff of Talbot County directed in the words and figures and of the tenor following to wit,

The State of Maryland, To the Sheriff of Talbot County Greeting:

Seal of the 6th Circuit Court of Appeals.

Whereas at a County Court begun & held at Easton in and for Talbot County on the third Monday of May in the year of our Lord 1821, a certain

Lambert Reardon by consideration of the same Court, did recover judgment against a certain Richard Harrington for as well the sum of Eighty one dollars and fifty six cents current money, a certain debt as the sum of six dollars and fifty cents which unto the said Lambert Reardon was by the said County Court adjudged, as well for his damages which he had sustained, by occasion of the detention of that debt, as for his costs and charges by him about his suit in that behalf laid out & expended wherefore the said Richard Harrington is convicted, as by the record and proceedings of the said County Court, which was lately caused to be brought into the Court of Appeals, held for the Eastern Shore of the said State at Easton, in Talbot County, for certain causes of error in the same to be corrected is manifest. And whereas also afterwards to wit, in the said Court of Appeals held for the Eastern Shore of the said State at Easton, in Talbot County, on the first Monday of June in the year of our Lord 1822 the said Lambert Reardon did recover Judgment against the said Richard Harrington for one other sum of Nine dollars and fifty eight and one third cents which unto the said Lambert Reardon was by the said Court of Appeals adjudged for his costs and charges which he had sustained by reason of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid by pretence of prosecuting an appeal of and upon the said judgment, by him the said Richard Harrington before the said Court of Appeals whereon that judgment was by the said Court of Appeals in all things affirmed as by the record and proceedings of the said Court of Appeals manifestly appear.

We therefore command you, That of the goods & chattels lands & tenements of the said Richard Harrington being in your bailiwick you cause to be made & levied the said debt, damages costs & charges aforesaid; and have you those sums of money before the said Court of Appeals, to be held for the Eastern Shore of the said State at Easton in Talbot County on the last Monday of November next, to satisfy unto the said Lambert Reardon, the debt, damages, costs and charges aforesaid. Hereof fail not at your peril & have you then and there this writ. Witness the Honourable Jeremiah T. Chase Esquire, Chief Judge of our said Court the 8 day of June 1822. Issued the 3 day of August 1822.

T.B. James Parrott Clerk.

At which said last Monday of November being the twenty fifth day of the said Month in the year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and twenty two, and the return day of the aforegoing writ, comes into the Court of Appeals here the said Lambert Reardon by Thomas J. Bullitt Esquire his Attorney aforesaid and the Sheriff of Talbot County, to whom the said writ was in form aforesaid directed makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed, to wit, "Levied as per schedule & property on hand for want of bidders. E. N. H. Shff

"A Schedule of the goods & chattels lands & tenements of Richard Harrington taken by virtue of a fieri facias to me directed at the suit of Lambert Reardon to wit all his right title interest & claim of in & to 4 Lots of ground lying in the Town of St. Michaels, with the crop of Corn and fodder on the ground, with the improvements thereon, also 1 Lot near St. Michaels and opposite Nathan Harrington's gate said to contain 3¼ acres of land more or less 1 Cow 1 Cart 1 Mare & Colt 2 beds bedsteads and furniture 1 Bureau 1 Side Board 6 Windsor Chairs 1 Cupboard & Contents 1 plow 1 Looking glass 2 dining tables subject to prior claims.

Edwd N. Hambleton Shff.

And be remembered that on the 17th day of December 1822 the said Lambert Reardon by his aforesaid Attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the judgment aforesaid in said Court of Appeals affirmed, a writ of the State of Maryland of Venditioni Exponas to the Sheriff of Talbot County directed in the words & figures and of the tenor following to wit,

The State of Maryland, To the Sheriff of Talbot County Greeting:

Seal of the 6th Circuit Court of Appeals.

Whereas, at a County Court, held at Easton in and for Talbot County, on the third Monday of May in the year of our Lord 1821, a certain Lambert Reardon by consideration of the same Court, did recover judgment against a certain Richard Harrington for as well the sum of Eighty one dollars & fifty six cents current money, a certain debt, as the sum of six dollars and fifty cents which unto the said Lambert Reardon was by the said Court adjudged, as well for his damages which he had sustained as well by occasion of the detention of that debt, as for his costs and charges by him about his suit in that behalf laid out and expended, wherefore the said Richard Harrington is convicted, as by the record and proceedings of the said County Court, which was lately caused to be brought into the Court of Appeals, held for the Eastern Shore of the said State, at Easton, in Talbot County, for certain causes of error in the same to be corrected is manifest. And whereas also afterwards, to wit, in the said Court of Appeals, held for the Eastern Shore of the said State at Easton, in Talbot County, on the first Monday of June in the year of our Lord 1822. the said Lambert Reardon, did recover Judgment against the said Richard Harrington