the above named Michael Lamb by the judgment of the said Court recovered against the above bound Joseph Steingasser as well the sum of six hundred dollars and ninety five cents current money, debt, with interest to be taxed thereon from the third day of August in the year Eighteen hundred and fifteen, till paid; payments if any to be allowed, as the sum of ten dollars and thirty three cents for his costs and charges by him about his suit in that behalf laid out and expended, whereof the said Joseph Steingasser is convict &c. from which said judgment so as aforesaid rendered the said Joseph Steingasser hath prayed an appeal to the next Court of Appeals to be held for the Eastern shore. Now the Condition of the above Obligation is such, that if the above bound Joseph Steingasser shall not pursue the directions of the Act of Assembly entitled 'An act for regulating writs of error & granting Appeals from and to the Courts of Common law within this Province' at the next Court of appeals to be held for the Eastern shore, and prosecute the same appeal with effect, & also satisfy and pay unto the said Michael Lamb his executors, administrators or assigns in case the said judgment shall be affirmed, as well all & singular the debt damages & costs adjudged by the County Court aforesaid, as also all costs & damages that shall be awarded by the said Court of appeals: then this bond to be and remain in full force and virtue otherwise of no effect.

Joseph Steingasser (Seal)
Benj.a Willmott (Seal)
J. Bruce (Seal)

Signed sealed & delivered in the presence of William Ferguson —

Which said bond is thus endorsed to wit "Sureties approved this 12. June 1819 R.d T. Earle"

And thereupon in virtue of the said Act of Assembly, and in pursuance of the application and direction aforesaid the record of proceedings aforesaid with all things thereunto relating are transmitted to the said Court of Appeals accordingly. Test. J. Brockenbrough Clk.

State of Maryland Talbot County to wit. I hereby Certify that the foregoing is truly taken from the record of proceedings of Talbot County Court

In Testimony whereof I have to this exemplification subscribed my name and affixed (Seal) the seal of the said County Court this sixteenth day of June in the year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and nineteen. Jacob Brockenbrough Clk Talbot County Court

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Michael Lamb by John Leeds Kerr Esquire his attorney, as the said Joseph Steingasser by Thomas J. Bullitt Esquire his attorney: And the said Joseph Steingasser by his Attorney aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Michael Lamb, against the said Joseph Steingasser, when by the law of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said Joseph Steingasser against the said Michael Lamb, therefore in that there is manifest error and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled & held entirely as void, and that he the said Joseph Steingasser may be restored to all things which by reason of the said judgment he hath lost, & that the said Michael Lamb may reply to the errors aforesaid &c.

And the said Michael Lamb by his attorney aforesaid, says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid; nor in the giving of the Judgment aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Joseph Steingasser above for error assigned and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed &c. but because the Court of Appeals

here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the last Monday in November next to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here thereof are not advised &c. At which said last Monday in November being the twenty ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and nineteen, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid; thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises. And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in June being the fifth day of the said month in the year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and twenty, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid: Whereupon as well the record of proceedings aforesaid and the judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Joseph Steingasser above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had, for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect the said causes for error above assigned and alledged in anywise notwithstanding; and it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here that the said Michael Lamb have execution against the said Joseph Steingasser, as well for the debt damages costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said County Court of Talbot, as also for the sum of nine dollars forty one cents and two thirds of a cent, adjudged unto the said Michael Lamb by the Court of Appeals here on his assent for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said Appeal by the said Joseph Steingasser of for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted &c.

Memorandum. Judgment was affirmed in this case on the fifth day of June 1820 & Execution awarded for the sum of $600.95 debt with interest thereon from the 3d day of August 1815. till paid & $6.33 costs in County Court, & $9.41½ Costs in Court of appeals. Credit was entered $231.25 indorsed on the 4th to be allowed. Attest James Parrott Clk.

And be it remembered that on the eighteenth day of July Eighteen hundred and twenty, the said Michael Lamb by his Attorney aforesaid, prosecuted and sued forth out of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid in the said Court of Appeals affirmed, a writ of the State of Maryland of Fieri facias to the Sheriff of Talbot County aforesaid directed, in the words & figures & of the tenor following to wit.

The State of Maryland to the Sheriff of Talbot County Greeting: Whereas at a County Court held at Easton in and for Talbot County on the third Monday in May in the year of our Lord 1819, a certain Michael Lamb by consideration of the same Court, did recover Judgment against a certain Joseph Steingasser for as well the sum of six hundred dollars and ninety five cents, current money a certain debt, as the sum of six dollars and thirty three cents which unto the said Michael Lamb was by the said County Court adjudged as well for his damages which he had sustained by occasion of the detention of that debt, as for his costs & charges by him