appeals from and to the County of Common Law within this Province at the next Court pending before which the Appeal aforesaid ought to be tried, and pursuant to the same appeal with effect, and also satisfy and pay to the said Isaac Freeman his executors administrators or assigns in case the said judgment shall be affirmed as well all singular the damages costs & expenses by the Court before which the action aforesaid was first brought and from whence judgment the appeal aforesaid was made as also all costs & damages that shall be awarded by the Court before which the appeal aforesaid shall be heard, tried and determined, then the said Obligation to be and remain in full force and virtue in law, otherwise of no effect.

Edwd Wright (Seal)
John Contee (Seal)
Jos. Vansant Jr (Seal)

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of Henry Tilghman

Which being read and heard and the securities in the said Bond being by the Court here approved, it is therefore ordered by the said Court here that the record and proceedings in the aforesaid with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the said High Court of Appeals of the said State, and the same is transmitted to the said Court accordingly.

Maryland Kent County Sct. I hereby certify that the aforegoing is truly and faithfully taken and copied from the record of proceedings of Kent County Court.

In Testimony whereof I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the public seal of my Office this fifteenth day of November eighteen hundred & nineteen

Kent County Court Seal

William Scott Clk. of Kent County Court Md.

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Isaac Freeman by William Carmichael and Gideon Chambers Esquires his Attorneys as the said Edward Wright by Henry Tilghman Esquire his Attorney; And the said Edward Wright by his Attorney aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears, that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Isaac Freeman against the said Edward Wright when by the law of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said Edward Wright against the said Isaac Freeman, therefore in that there is manifest error and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled & held entirely as void, and that he the said Edward Wright may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said judgment and that the said Isaac Freeman may rejoin to the errors aforesaid &c.

And the said Isaac Freeman by his Attorney aforesaid says, there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said Edward Wright above for errors assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed &c. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made & provided continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises &c. At which said first Monday of June being the fifth day of the said month, in the year eighteen hundred & twenty come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Isaac Freeman by

his attorneys aforesaid, as the said Edward Wright by Henry Tilghman & John B. Eccleston his Attorneys, but because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the last Monday of November next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises for that the Court now here thereof are not advised &c.

At which said last Monday in November, being the fifth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys last aforesaid, thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises &c.

At which said first Monday in June, being the fourth day of the said month in the year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and twenty one, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, but because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in November next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here thereof are not advised &c.

At which said last Monday in November, being the twenty sixth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and twenty one, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises &c.

At which said first Monday in June, being the third day of the said month in the year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and twenty two, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, but because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here until the last Monday in November next to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises for that the Court now here thereof are not &c. At which said last Monday in November being the twenty fifth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty two, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid; thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of & upon the said premises &c. And now at this day to wit, the said first Monday in June being the second day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty three, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid; Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Edward Wright above for error assigned being seen and fully understood by the Court here, that there is no error in the opinions and directions of the said County Court as given & set forth