of the said State; and the same are transmitted to the said Court accordingly. Test J. Richardson Clk Caroline County Court. I hereby certify that the aforegoing is a true Copy taken from the record of proceedings of the County Court of Caroline. In Testimony whereof to this exemplification I have hereunto set my hand and affix the seal of the County aforesaid this twenty eighth day of March in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and twenty two. Jos. Richardson Clk of Caroline County Court.

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said William Harrison & John Baggs by William Carmichael and Isaiah Bayly Esquires their attorneys as the said John Dennis lessee of the said George Mason, William Mason, Thomas Mason & Richard Mason by Thomas J. Bullitt and John Leeds Kerr Esquires their attorneys; and the said John Dennis by his attorneys aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said William Harrison and John Baggs against the said John Dennis, when by the law of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said John Dennis lessee as aforesaid against the said William Harrison & John Baggs therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error, and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void; and that he the said John Dennis lessee as aforesaid may be restored to all things which he hath lost by reason of the judgment aforesaid; and that the said William Harrison and John Baggs may rejoin to the errors aforesaid &c. And the said William Harrison & John Baggs by their attorneys aforesaid say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid; and they pray that the Court of Appeals here may proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said John Dennis lessee as aforesaid above for error assigned and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed &c. but because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here until the last Monday in November next to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises for that the Court now here thereof are not advised &c. At which said last Monday in November, being the twenty fifth day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and twenty two, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid thereupon for their process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises &c.

And now at this day to wit the said first Monday in June being the second day of the said month come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid; whereupon all and singular the premises being seen, and by the Court here more fully understood and matured deliberation thereupon had, for that it appears to the Court here that there is manifest error in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid; therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the judgment aforesaid for the errors and others

in the record of proceedings aforesaid, be reversed annulled & held entirely as void, and that the said John Dennis lessee as aforesaid be restored to all things which by reason of the judgment aforesaid he hath lost, thereupon the Court here according to the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided order and direct a remission of the record and proceedings aforesaid to the Clerk of the said County Court of Caroline, with the Writ of the State of Maryland of Procedendo to the said County Court directing them to proceed in the action aforesaid and to a new trial thereof, in the same manner as if no trial had taken place or any appeal had been prosecuted; it is also considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said John Dennis lessee of the said George, William, Thomas and Richard Mason recover against the said William Harrison & John Baggs the sum of Seventy one dollars eight and one third cents by the Court here adjudged unto the said John Dennis lessee as aforesaid on his agent for his costs and charges by him laid out and expended about his prosecution of the appeal aforesaid; and that the said John Dennis lessee as aforesaid have thereof execution &c.

Memorandum. Judgment Reversed the 1st June 1822 with £78.9.8 Costs to the appellants — Procendendo awarded —

Attest James Parrott Clk.

And be it further remembered that on the second day of August Eighteen hundred & twenty three the said John Dennis lessee of the said George, William, Thomas and Richard Mason by John Leeds Kerr Esquire his Attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the Court of Appeals here the writ of the State of Maryland of Fieri facias to the Sheriff of Queen Ann's County directed in the words and figures and of the tenor following, to wit:

Maryland ss. The State of Maryland to the Sheriff of Queen Ann's County, Greeting:

Seal of Court of Appeals. Whereas at a County Court begun and held at Denton in and for Caroline County on the first Monday of March 1822, a certain William Harrison Tenant, and John Baggs, Landlord, by the judgment of the said Court recovered against a certain George Mason, William Mason, Thomas Mason & Richard Mason the sum of Fifty six dollars eighty eight and one third cents for their costs and charges by them sustained about their defence of a certain action of Trespass and Ejectment brought and prosecuted in the said Court by John Dennis lessee of the said George, William, Thomas & Richard Mason against the said William Harrison & John Baggs, whereas the said George, William, Thomas & Richard Mason in the name of the said John Dennis their lessee are convicted, as appears by the inspection of the record and proceedings thereof, which was lately caused to be brought into the Court of Appeals at Easton, for certain causes of error in the same to be corrected. And whereas at a Court of Appeals begun and held at Easton in Talbot County on the first Monday of June 1823. it was considered by the said Court that for sundry errors in the record and proceedings aforesaid, and also in the revaluation of the judgment aforesaid found, that the same judgment should be reversed, annulled and held entirely as void, and that the said George William Thomas & Richard Mason should be restored to all things which by reason of the judgment aforesaid they had lost, it was also considered by the said Court of Appeals that the said George William Thomas & Richard Mason, in the name of the said John Dennis their lessee as aforesaid, recover against the said William Harrison and John Baggs the sum of Seventy one dollars eight and one third cents adjudged unto them upon the reversal of the judgment aforesaid for their costs and — charges by them put to about their appeal aforesaid and upon the premises; therefore you are hereby commanded that of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of the said William Harrison & John Baggs, being in your bailiwick you cause to be made and levied the said sum of Seventy one dollars eight