by the said Court that the record and proceedings in the plea aforesaid, with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the high Court of Appeals and the same are transmitted accordingly.

Test John Browne Clk.

State of Maryland Queen Ann's County to wit I hereby certify that the aforegoing is truely and exactly taken and copied from the record of proceedings of Queen Ann's County Court. In Testimony whereof I have hereunto (Seal) set my hand and affixed the seal of my Office this fifteenth day of November Eighteen hundred and eleven. John Browne Clk: of Queen Ann's County Court"

And now comes into the Court of Appeals here as well the said State of Maryland by Kensey Harrison, Esquire her attorney, as the said Robert Wright in his own proper person &c. And thereupon on motion of the said State of Maryland by her attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said Robert Wright assign the errors in the record & proceedings of the judgment aforesaid, or judgment by the Court here will be rendered against him in default thereof; and the said Robert Wright in his proper person says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said State of Maryland against the said Robert Wright when by the law of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said Robert Wright against the said State of Maryland, therefore in that there is manifest error and he prays that the judgment aforesaid, for that error & others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled & held entirely as void; and thereupon it is ruled by the Court here, that the said State of Maryland join in the errors by the said Robert Wright above assigned in the record and proceedings of the judgment aforesaid, or judgment by the Court here will be rendered against her in default thereof: & the said State of Maryland by her attorney aforesaid prays a further day in Court here until the second Monday of June next to join in the errors by the said Robert Wright above assigned in the record and proceedings aforesaid as he hath it; & the same day is given to the said Robert Wright also &c: before which day the said plea was adjourned by an act of the general Assembly passed at December Session Eighteen hundred and eleven, until this day, that is to say the first Monday of June in the year Eighteen hundred and eleven.

At which first Monday of June, being the first day of the said month in the year Eighteen hundred and eleven, and being the day to which the Court of Appeals here by virtue of an act of the General Assembly in such case made and provided was changed continued and adjourned come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said State of Maryland by her Attorney aforesaid, as the said Robert Wright in his own proper person; whereupon as well the record & proceedings aforesaid and the judgment given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Robert Wright above for errors assigned, being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood and matured deliberation being thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid; therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alledged in any wise notwithstanding; and it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here that the said State of Maryland have execution against the said Robert Wright as well for the debt damages costs & charges aff.d adjudged unto her in the said County Court of Queen Ann's, as also for



the sum of six dollars sixty six thirds cents adjudged unto the said State of Maryland by the Court of Appeals here on her assent, for her costs and charges which she hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Robert Wright for the correcting of errors of fact upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted &c. Memorandum. Judgment was affirmed in this case on the 2.d day of June 1812. for £640. debt & £5.5s. Costs & the costs of this suit to be released on payment of £320. with interest thereon from 1st November 1824 till paid & costs with stay of Execution till the 1st day of May next. Attest. James Parrott Clk

And afterwards, to wit, on the fourth day of December in the year Eighteen hundred and thirteen, William Clayton and Thomas Kent Esquires, two justices of the peace of the state of Maryland in and for Queen Anns County, certify a certain Judgment before them confessed by the said Robert Wright together with a certain Robert Wright junior & Gustavus W.m Wright to the said State of Maryland for the debt damages costs and charges aforesaid the tenor whereof is in the words and figures following to wit. "Now Robert Wright, Robert Wright junior & Gustavus W. T. Wright do jointly and severally confess judgment to the said State of Maryland for the sum of Six hundred and eighty pounds and costs which were recovered by the said State of Maryland against the said Robert Wright on the second day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twelve in the Court of Appeals; the said sum of money and costs to be levied of your bodies goods or chattels, lands and tenements for the use of the said State of Maryland in case the said Robert Wright shall not pay & satisfy to the said State of Maryland the said sum of money and costs so as aforesaid recovered with additional costs thereon. The above Confession of judgment was made before us the Subscribers the fourth day of December 1813. Wm Clayton (Seal) Thos Kent (Seal)

And be it remembered that on the third day of November Eighteen hundred and fourteen, the said State of Maryland by Kensey Harrison her attorney prosecutes and sueth forth out of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the judgment so as aforesaid confessed the writ of Fieri Facias to the Sheriff of Queen Anns County directed in the words and figures and of the tenor following to wit: "The State of Maryland to the Sheriff of Queen Anns County Greeting: Whereas at a County Court held at Centreville in and for Queen Anns County on the first Monday of May in the year of our Lord 1810. The State of Maryland by consideration of the same Court did recover judgment against a certain Robert Wright for as well the sum of Six hundred and eighty pounds current money as certain debt, as the sum of five dollars fifty six cents & two thirds cents which unto the said State of Maryland was by the said County Court adjudged as well for her damages which she has sustained by occasion of the detention of that debt, as for her costs and charges by her about her suit in that behalf laid out and expended, whereof the said Robert Wright is convicted as by the record and proceedings of the said County Court which was lately caused to be brought into the Court of Appeals held for the Eastern Shore of the said State at Easton in Talbot County for certain causes of error in the same to be corrected is manifest. And whereas also afterwards, to wit, at the said Court of Appeals held for the Eastern Shore of the said State at Easton in Talbot County on the first Monday of June in the year of our Lord 1812, the said State of Maryland did recover judgment against the said Robert Wright for no other sum of six dollars sixty six cents and two thirds of a cent which unto the said State of Maryland was by the said Court of Appeals adjudged for her costs and charges which she has sustained by reason of the

Seal of the County Court of Appeals