Judgments.

Thereupon it is ordered by the Court here that a record of the proceedings aforesaid with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the High Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland to be holden on the last Monday of November Instant, & they are transmitted accordingly

State of Maryland Talbot County, towit: In Testimony that the foregoing is a true & perfect record of the proceedings in the case of Christopher Cox and others against Samuel Wright taken Copied from the record of proceedings of Talbot County Court remaining Talbot County in my office. I have hereto set my hand and affixed the seal of my office Court this 11th day of December, in the year eighteen hundred and thirteen

Jacob Loockerman Clk of Talbot County Court

And now here comes into the said Court of Appeals as well the said Samuel Wright by John Leeds Kerr, Esquire his attorney, as the said Christopher Cox Thomas Wright, Joseph Lawrence Clayton William H Kinggold, William Clayton, Joshua Kennard, William G Bowdle, Thomas Emory and John Brown, by Walter S Clayton, Esquire, their attorney, and thereupon on motion of the said Christopher Cox and others by their attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said Samuel Wright assign the errors in the record and proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid or Judgment by the Court here will be rendered against him in default thereof; and the said Samuel Wright by his attorney aforesaid saith, that in the record and proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this towit, that by the record aforesaid it appears, that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said Christopher Cox and others against the said Samuel Wright when by the laws of the land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said Samuel Wright against the said Christopher Cox and others therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the Judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record and proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void, and that he the said Samuel Wright may be restored to all that he has lost by occasion of the said Judgment, and that the said Christopher Cox and others may rejoin to the errors aforesaid &c. and the said Christopher Cox & others by their Attorney aforesaid say, there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid, and pray likewise that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Samuel Wright above for errors assigned, and that the said Judgment may be in whereupon it is ruled by the Court here, that the matters in controversy between the parties aforesaid be argued & fully discussed as well on the part of the said Christopher Cox & others as of the said Samuel Wright, before the Judges of the Court here on the first Monday of June next the same day is given to the parties aforesaid &c. And now here at this day towit, the first Monday of June eighteen hundred and fourteen, come again into Court here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Samuel Wright, above for errors assigned, being seen and fully understood by the Court here & mature deliberation thereupon had, for it appears to the Court here that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in the giving Judgment aforesaid, therefore it is considered by the Court here, that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alledged in any wise notwithstanding, and it is further considered by the Court here that the said Christopher Cox & others have execution against the said Samuel Wright for as well the Debt, Damages, Costs and Charges aforesaid, as adjudged unto them in the said County Court of Talbot, as also the sum of Ten Dollars thirty eight and one third cents adjudged unto the said Christopher Cox and others by the Court for their costs and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by prosecution

of the prosecution of the said Appeal, by him the said Samuel Wright of and upon the premises aforesaid prosecuted.

James Parrott Clerk.

Memorandum. Judgment in this case affirmed the 8th day of June Eighteen hundred & fourteen — Stay of Execution till the 1st day of September next.

Mary Dulany Grafton Dulany & Sarah Dulany Devisees of Walter Dulany against James Conner, Benjamin Comer & Martha Conner

Be it remembered that on the Monday of December in the year eighteen hundred and eleven, the Chief Justice and Associate Justices of Kent County Court in pursuance of the Act of assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here, the record and proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them, in the said County Court between, Mary Dulany, Grafton Dulany and Sarah Dulany Devisees of Walter Dulany, plaintiffs, and James Conner, Benjamin Conner & Martha Conner, Defendants, and wherein the said County Court gave Judgment for the said James, Benjamin & Martha Conner, against the said Mary, Grafton & Sarah Dulany, Devisees aforesaid, and from which said Judgment the said Mary, Grafton, Sarah Dulany, Devisees as aforesaid prayed an appeal unto the Court of Appeals here, the Tenor of which said record and proceedings is in form following, towit: Maryland Kent County Sct. At a County Court of the second Judicial District of the State of Maryland, begun and held at the Court House, in the Town of Chester, in and for Kent County aforesaid on the third Monday of September, being the sixteenth day of the same months in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eleven, and in the thirty sixth year of the Independance of the United States of America — Were Present.

Richard Tilghman Earle, Esquire, Chief Judge

The Honble Samuel Purnell & Thomas Worrell Esquires, Associate Judges.

Edward Wilkins, Esquire Sheriff and Benjamin Chambers Clerk

In the record of Proceedings of the said Court amongst other things were the following, towit:

During the Trial of the following cause, the Chief Judge withdraws himself from the Bench he having been a former Counsel for one of the parties.

James, Benjamin & Martha Conner — Be it remembered that heretofore, towit, on the twenty sixth day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seven, John Dunn Lessee of Walter Dulany, by Richard Tilghman Earle his attorney declared in the County Court here against Richard Dunn, in a plea of Trespass and Ejectment, with a notice thereto annexed in form following towit: "Kent County towit. Richard Dunn late of the County aforesaid was attached to answer unto John Dunn in a plea wherefore with force and arms &c. at the County aforesaid he entered into all that tract of plantable land called the Remains of my Lords Gracious Grant, lying and being in the County aforesaid containing eight thousand acres with the appurtenances which Walter Dulany demised to the said John Dunn for a term of years which is not yet expired and ejected him from his said farm, and other wrongs to the said John Dunn then did to the great damage of the said John Dunn, and against the peace, government and dignity of the State of Maryland and so forth. And whereupon the said John Dunn by Richd Earle his att complains that the said Walter heretofore, towit, on the first day of January eighteen hundred and seven, at the County aforesaid had demised to the said John Dunn, all the said Tract of Land called Plantable the Remains of my Lords Gracious Grant lying and being in the County aforesaid containing eight thousand acres with the appurtenances to have and to hold the same to the said John Dunn and his assigns from the first day of January then last past for and during and unto the full end and term of fifteen years from thence next ensuing and fully to be complete and ended, by virtue of which said demise the said John Dunn entered into the said tract of plantable land

Mary Dulany, Grafton Dulany & Sarah Dulany Devisees of Walter Dulany