assigned, and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed &c. Whereupon it is ordered by the Court here that the matters in controversy between the parties aforesaid be argued & fully discussed, as well on the part of the said Henry Honey as of the said Frederick Wilson, before the Judges of the Court here &c. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid and by order of the Court here thereon is continued until the first Monday of June next &c. And now here at this day, to wit, the first Monday of June eighteen hundred and fourteen, comes again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their Attorneys aforesaid: Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the Judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said Frederick Wilson above for error assigned, being seen and fully understood by the Court here, and mature deliberation thereupon had, for it appears to the Court here that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving Judgment aforesaid, therefore it is considered by the Court here, that the Judgment aforesaid, given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed, and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alledged in any wise notwithstanding; and it is further considered by the Court here that the said Henry Honey have execution against the said Frederick Wilson as well for the debt, damages, costs and charges aforesaid, as adjudged unto him in the said County Court of Kent, as also the sum of Ten Dollars fifty five and one third cents adjudged unto the said Henry Honey by the Court here for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid, by prefence of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Frederick Wilson, of and upon the premises as aforesaid promised Memorandum. Judgment in this cause was affirmed the eighth day of June eighteen hundred and fourteen —

James Harrott Clk.

Henry Honey use of **Stoddert & Moore** against **John T. Hennard**

Be it remembered that on the last Monday of November eighteen hundred and thirteen, the Chief Justice and associate Justices of Kent County Court, in pursuance of the Act of Assembly in such cases made and provided transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record and proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the said County Court, between Henry Honey, plaintiff and John T. Hennard, defendant, and wherein the said County Court gave Judgment for the said Henry Honey against the said John T. Hennard, and from which said Judgment the said John T. Hennard prayed an Appeal unto the Court of Appeals here the tenor of which said record & proceedings is in form following, to wit: "Maryland Kent County S.t At a County Court of the second Judicial District of the State of Maryland begun and held at the Court House in the Town of Chester in and for the said County of Kent on the third Monday of September, being the twentieth day of the same month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirteen and in the thirty eighth year of the Independence of the United States of America — Were Present. The Honble Richard Tilghman Earle, Esquire Chief Judge, Samuel Purnell & Thomas Worrell Esquires associate Judges. Edward Browne, Esquire, Sheriff and Benjamin Chambers Clk.

In the record of proceedings of the said Court among other things were the following, to wit: Henry Honey, use of Stoddert & Moore vs John T. Hennard

Be it remembered that heretofore, to wit, on the sixth day of April in the year Eighteen hundred and twelve, the said Henry Honey by William Barroll his Attorney, prosecuted and sued forth out of the County Court here the Writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum to the Sheriff of Kent County directed in the tenor and figures and of the tenor following, to wit: "Kent County S.t The State of Maryland

To the Sheriff of Kent County, Greeting. You are hereby commanded to take John Tilden Hennard late of Kent County if he shall be found in your bailiwick and him safe keep so that you have his body before the County Court to be held at the Court House in the Town of Chester in and for the said County of Kent on the third Monday of September next to answer unto Henry Honey in a plea that he render unto him the sum of One hundred and forty six pounds ten shillings current money which to him he owes and unjustly detains &c. And have you then and there this Writ. Witness the Honorable Richard Tilghman Earle Esquire, Chief Judge of the said County Court the 16th day of March Anno Dominie 1812. Issued this 9th day of April Anno Dominie 1812. Benj. Chambers Clk.

At which mentioned third Monday of September, being the twenty first day of the same month, in the year Eighteen hundred and twelve, and the day of the return of the aforegoing writ, comes into the County Court here, the said Henry Honey, by his Attorney aforesaid, and the Sheriff of Kent County aforesaid, to wit, Edward Withins, Gentleman, to wit, on the said writ was upon him aforesaid directed, and makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed, to wit: "Kent County S.t By virtue of this writ to me directed I have taken the within named John Tilden Hennard whose body I have ready before the County Court within named at the day and place within contained as I am within commanded — So answers — Edward Withins Shff."

And the said John T. Hennard being called, appears in Court here by James Edmondson Bartle his Attorney, And thereupon on motion of the said Henry Honey by his Attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said John T. Hennard give special bail in the plea aforesaid. Whereupon a certain Samuel G. Hennard of Kent County, comes here into Court in his own proper person, and (with the consent of the said Henry Honey by his said Attorney) becomes pledge and bail for the said John T. Hennard that if it shall happen that the said John T. Hennard shall be convicted at the suit of the said Henry Honey in the plea aforesaid, then the said Samuel G. Hennard consents that as well the debt aforesaid as all such damages costs and charges as shall be adjudged unto the said Henry Honey in this behalf shall be made of his body lands and chattels and levied to the use of the said Henry Honey, if it shall happen that the said John T. Hennard shall not pay the said debt damages costs and charges, or render himself to the prison and custody of the Sheriff of the County aforesaid on that occasion. Thereupon on motion of the said John T. Hennard, by his Attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said Henry Honey, declare against the said John T. Hennard in the plea aforesaid or judgment by the Court here will be rendered against him in default thereof, And the said John T. Hennard by his Attorney aforesaid prays leave of the Court here to imparle until the third Monday of March next and to him it is granted, the same day is given to the aforesaid Henry Honey also. At which said third Monday of March being the fifteenth day of the same month in the year Eighteen hundred and thirteen, and to which said day the said John T. Hennard had leave to imparle comes again into the County Court here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, and the said Henry Honey, by his Attorney aforesaid, now declares in the Court here against the said John T. Hennard, in the plea aforesaid in manner & form following to wit. "Kent County S.t John Tilden Hennard late of Kent County was summoned to answer unto Henry Honey in a plea that he render unto him the sum of One hundred and forty six pounds ten shillings current money which to him he owes and unjustly detains &c. And whereupon the said Henry by William Barroll his Attorney, saith that whereas, the said John on the twentyseventh day of October, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eleven, at Kent County aforesaid by his certain Bill Obligatory, promised and obliged himself his heirs executors and administrators to pay the said Henry the aforesaid sum of One hundred and forty six pounds ten shillings when afterwards he should be thereunto required. Nevertheless the said John the said sum of one hundred and forty six pounds ten shillings or any part thereof to him the said Henry hath not contented or paid, although so often the said John was afterwards at the County aforesaid, by the said Henry requested, but the same sum of money or any part thereof to him the said Henry to