when sued she says she is wronged and hath damage to the value of four hundred Dollars current money, and therefore she brings suit and so forth, and the said Sarah brings here into Court the letters of administration granted and dated as afs. which sufficiently prove the administration afs. in form afs.
P. B. Hopper for plff — pleads 1st John Dod & Richard Stoe —
The Bill obligatory mentioned in the foregoing Declaration, is in the words following, to wit.
For value received I promise to pay, or cause to be paid unto Perry C. Stoel his heirs or assigns on or before the first day of October next the just & full sum of two hundred and four dollars and twelve Cents. In Witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand & seal this twenty first day of April Anno Domini One thousand eight hundred and twelve — S. Wright (Seal)
Test George Nicholas — And hereupon the said Wright by his said Attorney defends the force and injury when &c says that he cannot deny the action afs. of the said Stoel so as afs. brought against him nor can he deny but that he owed and was justly indebted unto the said Perry C. Stoel in his lifetime in the afd. sum of two hundred and four dollars and twelve cents, current money in manner and form as the said Sarah, administratrix as afs. above against him hath complained; And thereupon the said Sarah prays Judgment for her said debt so confessed together with her damages which she hath sustained, as well by reason of the detention of the said debt as for her Costs and Charges by her about her suit in this behalf to be adjudged, therefore its considered by the Court here that the said Sarah Stoel, administratrix as afs. recover against the said Samuel Wright, as well the said sum of two hundred and four dollars & twelve Cents, her debt afs. in form afs. confessed as the sum of seven dollars and seventy five cents for her damages which she hath sustained as well by reason of the detention of the said Debt, as for her costs and charges, by her about her suit in this behalf laid out & expended, adjudged unto the said Sarah, administratrix as afs. by the Court here on her agreed, and the said Wright &c.
Memorandum. Judgment was confessed in this Action on the 1st day of June 1814. for Debt & Costs, to bear interest from the 21st day of April 1812 till paid & Costs. payments if any to be allowed.
And thereupon the said Samuel Wright by his Attorney aforesaid prays that he may appeal from the Judgment afs. of the Court here, so as afs. rendered to the high Court of Appeals and to him the same is granted by the Court here, Whereupon the said Wright exhibiteth to the Court here an Appeal bond entered into by himself and two sureties which by the Court here are approved of the tenor whereof is in the following words to wit.
Know all men by these presents that we Samuel Wright of Talbot County, John Dames and William A. Stuart both of Kent County, are held & firmly bound unto Sarah Stoel Administratrix of Perry C. Stoel in the full and just sum of five hundred dollars, current money of Maryland, to be paid to the said Sarah, administratrix as afs. her attorney, executors, administrators or assigns, to the payment whereof well and truly to be made and done, we bind ourselves our heirs executors & administrators, jointly and severally firmly by these presents sealed with our seals and dated this sixth day of June in the year of our Lord eighteen hundred and fourteen —
Whereas at a County Court of the State of Maryland holden for Talbot County, at Easton in the said County before the Judges thereof at the May Term of the said Court, in the year of our Lord eighteen hundred and fourteen, the said Sarah Stoel administratrix of Perry C. Stoel obtained a Judgment against the said Samuel Wright, for as well the sum of two hundred & five dollars and twelve Cents current money of Maryland a certain debt, as for the sum of seven dollars and seventy five Cents costs of suit, with interest to be taxed on the debt from the twenty first day of April, eighteen hundred and twelve, by which said Judgment the said Samuel Wright deems himself aggrieved and therefore hath appealed to the Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland, to be holden for the Eastern Shore of the said State.
The Condition therefore of the foregoing Obligation is such that if the said Samuel Wright Appellant shall not pursue the directions of the Act of Assembly, entitled "an act for regulating Writs of error and granting appeals from the Courts of Common Law within this province", at the next Court ensuing before whom the appeal afs. ought

be tried and prosecute the same Appeal with effect, and also satisfy and pay to the said Sarah Stoel administratrix of Perry C. Stoel her executors administrators or assigns in case the said Judgment shall be affirmed as well all and singular the debt, damages & costs adjudged by the Court before whom the action afs. was first brought, and from whose Judgment the Appeal afs. was made, as also all costs & damages that shall be awarded by the Court before whom the appeal afs. shall be heard tried and determined, then the said Obligation to be void and remain in full force and virtue in law otherwise of no effect.
Saml Wright (Seal) John Dames (Seal) Wm A. Stuart (Seal) Signed, sealed & delivered in the presence of P. B. Hopper. Thereupon its ordered by the Court here that a record of the proceedings afs. with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the high Court of Appeals to be holden for the Eastern Shore of the State of Maryland, at Easton on the first Monday in June next and they are transmitted accordingly — In Testimony that the foregoing is a true and exact Copy from the record of proceedings of Talbot County Court I have hereto set my hand and affixed the seal of my Office this ninth day of June 1814. Jacob Loockerman Clk of Talbot County Court
And now comes into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Sarah Stoel, administratrix as aforesaid, by Phileman B. Hopper, Esquire, her attorney, as the said Samuel Wright by John Leeds Kerr, Esquire his Attorney, and the said Samuel Wright by his attorney aforesaid saith, that, in the record and proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said Sarah Stoel, administratrix as aforesaid, against the said Samuel Wright when by the law of the land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said Samuel Wright against the said Sarah Stoel, administratrix as aforesaid, therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the Judgment aforesaid for that error, and others being in the record and proceedings aforesaid may be reversed, annulled & held entirely as void, and that he the said Samuel Wright may be restored to all that he has lost by occasion of the said Judgment, and that the said Sarah Stoel administratrix as aforesaid, may rejoin to the errors aforesaid &c. And the said Sarah Stoel, administratrix as aforesaid, by her attorney aforesaid, saith there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid, and she prays likewise that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Samuel Wright above for errors assigned, and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed &c. Whereupon its ruled by the Court here that the matters in controversy between the parties aforesaid, be argued and fully discussed as well on the part of the said Sarah Stoel, administratrix as aforesaid as on the part of the said Samuel Wright before the Judges of the Court here &c. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid, and by order of the Court here thereon is continued until the last Monday of November next. At which day to wit, the last Monday of November eighteen hundred and fourteen, came again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid & by order of the Court here thereon is continued until the first Monday of June next. And now here, at this day, to wit, the first Monday of June eighteen hundred and fifteen, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, Whereupon as well the record & proceedings aforesaid & the Judgment given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Samuel Wright above for errors assigned being seen and fully understood by the Court here and matter deliberated thereupon here first appears to the Court here, that there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving Judgment aforesaid, therefore its considered by the Court here that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alledged in any wise notwithstanding, and its further considered by the Court here that the said Sarah Stoel administratrix as aforesaid, have execution against the said Samuel Wright, as well for the debt damages costs & charges aforesaid, as adjudged unto her in the County Court of Talbot aforesaid, as also the sum of seven dollars &