lived at John Brunt's in the State of Maryland but cannot tell how long, but supposes that it was one year and about thirty five years ago; afterwards the said Nanny was sent into the State of Delaway to live a while the length of time this deponent do not remember, this deponent further said that after the said Nanny came out of Delaway she came into the State of Virginia to live, this Deponent further saith that he never knew that the petitioner Patience was ever carried out of the State of Virginia, this Deponent further saith not. William Hargis senr.

1812 July 8th We the subscribers certify to the Worshipful Court of the County of Worcester State of Maryland that the above Deposition was legally taken by us in obedience to a Commission bearing date 15th Nov. 1811. Wm Downing (Seal) Saml Gillis (Seal) Whereupon

Whereupon the said Petitioner by her Counsel prayed the Court to direct the Jury that if they believe the above facts to be true that the said Petitioner is entitled to her freedom & that they ought to find a Verdict for the petitioner, which prayer the Court granted and so directed the Jury, to which opinion & direction of the Court the Defendant Sally Dennis by her Counsel excepted & prayed the benefit of her Exception according to the form of the Statute in such case made & provided & that the Court would sign & seal this her Bill of Exception. John Davis (Seal)

May 14th 1814. James B. Robins (Seal)

State of Maryland. Worcester County Sct. I hereby Certify that the aforegoing is a true Copy taken from the record of Proceedings of the County Court of Worcester In Testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand & affixed the seal of my Office this first day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fourteen.

John C. Handy Clk. Wor. Co. Court.

And now comes into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Negro Patience by Thomas Bayly Esquire his Attorney, as the said Sally Dennis by Isaiah Bayly and Ephraim K. Wilson Esquires her Attorneys: And the said Sally Dennis, by her attorneys aforesaid, saith that in the record and proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Negro Patience against the said Sally Dennis when by the law of the land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said Sally Dennis against the said Negro Patience, therefore in that there is manifest error, and she prays that the Judgment aforesaid for that error, and others being in the record and proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled & held entirely as void, and that she the said Sally Dennis may be restored to all that she hath lost by occasion of the said Judgment, and that the said Negro Patience may rejoin to the error aforesaid &c. And the said Negro Patience, by her Attorney aforesaid, says, there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid, or in the condition of the Judgment aforesaid, and prays leave that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Sally Dennis above for error assigned, and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed &c. Whereupon it is prayed by the Court here, that the matters in Controversy between the parties aforesaid be argued & decided, as well on the part of the said Sally Dennis, as on the part of the said Negro Patience, before the Judges of this Court here & thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid, & by order of the Court here thereon, is continued until the last Monday of November next. At which day, to wit, the last Monday of November eighteen hundred and fourteen, came again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid: whereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid, & by order of the Court here thereon is further continued until the first Monday of June next.

And now here at this day, to wit, the first Monday of June, eighteen hundred & fifteen, came again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid: Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Sally Dennis above for error



assigned being seen and fully understood by the Court here, and mature deliberation being thereupon had, for it appears to the Court here that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in the condition of the Judgment aforesaid, therefore it is considered by the Court here, that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed, and stand in full force and effect, the said error above assigned & alledged in any wise notwithstanding, and it is further considered by the Court here, that the said Negro Patience have execution against the said Sally Dennis as well for the damages, costs and charges aforesaid, as adjudged unto her in the said County Court of Worcester, as also the sum of sixteen Dollars forty three and one third cents adjudged unto the said Negro Patience by the Court here for her costs and charges which she hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid, by pretence of the prosecution of the said appeal, by her the said Sally Dennis of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted.

Memorandum. Judgment affirmed June the 8th 1815. Test Sa Parrott Clk.

---

**Sarah A. Price, adm^x of John W. Price against Thos. L. Haddaway**

Be it remembered that on the first Monday of June eighteen hundred and fourteen, the Chief Justice & Associate Justices of Talbot County Court, in pursuance of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here, the record and proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the said County Court, between, Sarah A. Price, administratrix of John W. Price, plaintiff, and Thomas L. Haddaway, defendant, and wherein the said County Court gave Judgment for the said, Sarah A. Price, administratrix as aforesaid, against the said Thomas L. Haddaway and from which said Judgment the said Thomas L. Haddaway prayed an appeal unto the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record & proceedings is in form following to wit.

The State of Maryland, Talbot County, to wit. At a County Court of the State of Maryland, begun and held for Talbot County, at Easton, in the said County, on the second Monday of November in the year eighteen hundred and twelve, before the Honorable the Judges of the said County Court and other Officers & Ministers duly commissioned and qualified to hold the same Court, of whom were present The Honorable Richard T. Earle Esquire Chief Judge.

Thomas Stevens, Esquire, Sheriff. Jacob Loocherman Clk.

In the record of proceedings of the same County Court were the following, to wit.

Sarah Ann Price adm^x of John W. Price v. Thos. L. Haddaway } Debt $1008.09. Be it Remembered that heretofore, to wit, on the twenty first day of October, in the year of our Lord eighteen hundred & twelve the plaintiff in this cause by John Leary, Esquire, her Attorney, prosecuted & sued forth out of the County Court here, the Writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum, directed to the Sheriff of Talbot County, in form following, to wit.

Talbot County, to wit. The State of Maryland. To the Sheriff of Talbot County, Greeting: We Command (Seal) you that you take Thomas L. Haddaway, late of Talbot County, Ship Carpenter, if he shall be found in your Bailiwick and him safe keep so that you have his body before the Judges of our County Court, to be held at Easton in the said County, on the second Monday of November next to answer unto Sarah Ann Price, Administratrix of all and singular the goods & chattels, rights & Credits which were of John W. Price, of a plea that he render unto her the sum of Four hundred and eight dollars and nine cents, money of account of the United States of America, of the value of One hundred fifty three pounds eight pence current money of Maryland which from her he unjustly detains &c. Hereof fail not at your peril, and have you then and there this Writ. Witness the honorable Richard Seligman Earle Esquire Chief Judge of our said Court the 25th day of May Anno Dominii 1812. Issued the 21st day of October 1812. Ss. J. Loochermann Clk.

At which said second Monday of November next, to wit, in the year eighteen hundred & twelve being the return day of the said Writ, came into Court here the said Sarah Ann Price, by her Attorney aforesaid and the Sheriff of the County aforesaid to whom the said Writ was in form aforesaid directed makes return thereof to Court here thereon endorsed, to wit. Copia S. Bennett Shff.