fully discussed, as well on the part of the said Jacob Gibson, as on the part of the said Philip Thomas before the Judges of the Court here, & thereupon further proceeding of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties and their attorneys aforesaid by order of the Court here thereon is continued until the last Monday of November next.

At which day, to wit, the last Monday of November eighteen hundred and fourteen, came again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid, whereupon further proceeding of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their attorneys aforesaid and by order of the Court here thereon is further continued until the first Monday of June next. **And now here**, at this day to wit, the first Monday of June eighteen hundred and fifteen came again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Jacob Gibson above for errors assigned, being seen and fully understood by the Court here; and mature deliberation thereupon had for it appears to the Court here, that there is no error either in the record & proceedings aforesaid or in the giving of Judgment aforesaid, therefore it is considered by the Court here that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said errors above assigned and alledged in any wise notwithstanding, and it is further considered by the Court here, that the said Philip Thomas have execution against the said Jacob Gibson, as well for the damages costs & charges aff. as adjudged unto him in the said County Court of Kent as also the sum of ten dollars sixty eight & one third cents adjudged unto the said Philip Thomas by the Court here, for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by pretence of the prosecution of the said appeal by him the said Jacob Gibson of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted.

Memorandum. Judgment affirmed the 8th June 1815. Test Nicarro H Clk.

Edward Wright against **Edward Hurtt** } **Be it Remembered** that on the first Monday of June eighteen hundred and fourteen, the Chief Justice and Associate Justices of Kent County Court, in pursuance of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record and proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the said County Court, between Edward Wright, plaintiff & Edward Hurtt, defendant, and wherein the said County Court gave Judgment for the said Edward Wright against the said Edward Hurtt and from which said Judgment, the said Edward Hurtt prays an appeal to the Court of appeals here, the tenor of which said record and proceedings is in form following, to wit. "Maryland Kent County ss. At a County Court of the second Judicial District of the State of Maryland begun and held at the Court House in the Town of Chester, in and for Kent County aforesaid, on the third Monday of March, being the twenty first day of the same month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fourteen, and in the thirty eighth year of the Independence of the United States of America. Wm Pearce The Worth Richard Tilghman Earle, Esquire Chief Judge, Samuel Purnell & Thomas Worrell Esquires Associate Judges. Edward Brown, Esquire Sheriff and Benjamin Chambers Clerk. In the Record & Proceedings of the said Court, among other things were the following, to wit.

Edward Wright vs **Edward Hurtt** } **Be it remembered** that heretofore, to wit, on the second day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirteen, the said Edward Wright, in his own proper person, prosecuted and sued forth out of Kent County Court here the Writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum, to the Sheriff of Kent County aforesaid directed, in the words and figures & of the tenor following that is to say. Kent County, to wit. The State of Maryland to the Sheriff of Kent County, Greeting: (Seal of Kent County Court) You are hereby commanded to take Edward Hurtt late of Kent County, if he shall be found in your bailiwick and him safe keep, so that you have his body before the County Court, to be held at the Court House in the Town of Chester, in & for the said County

of Kent, on the third Monday of March next to answer unto Edward Wright in a plea that he render unto him the sum of One thousand pounds, current money which to him he owes and unjustly detains &c. Hereof fail not at your peril and have you then and there this Writ. Witness the Honorable Richard Tilghman Earle, Esquire Chief Judge of the said County Court the 21st day of Sept. anno Domini 1812. Issued this 2. day of March anno Domini 1813. Ben Chambers Clk.

At which mentioned third Monday of March in the year of our Lord eighteen hundred thirteen and the day of the return of the said aforegoing Writ, comes into the County Court here the said Edward Wright by John Leeds and Ezekiel F. Chambers Esqrs his attorneys and the Sheriff of Kent County aff. to whom the said aforegoing Writ was in form aforesaid directed, and makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed, to wit. Kent County ss. By virtue of this Writ to me directed, I have taken the within named Edward Hurtt whose body I have ready before the County Court within named at the day and place within contained, as I am within commanded to answer. Edward Brown Sheriff

And the said Edward Hurtt being called appears in Court here by William Barroll Esquire his attorney, and thereupon on motion of the said Edward Hurtt by his attorney aforesaid it is ordered by the Court here that the said Edward Wright declare against the said Edward Hurtt in the plea aforesaid or Judgment by the Court here will be rendered against him in default thereof, and the said Edward Hurtt by his attorney aforesaid prays leave of the Court here to imparle until the third Monday of September next, and to him it is granted, whereupon day is given to the aforesaid Edward Wright &c.

At which mentioned third Monday of September, in the year eighteen hundred and thirteen, and to which said day the said Edward Hurtt had leave to imparle came again into the County Court here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid, and the said Edward Wright by his attorneys aff. now declares in the Court here against the said Edward Hurtt in the plea aforesaid in manner & form following, to wit. Maryland Kent County ss. Edward Hurtt, late of Kent County, was summoned to answer unto Edward Wright in a plea that he render unto him the sum of One thousand pounds current money which to him he owes and unjustly detains &c. And whereupon the said Edward Wright by E. F. Chambers his attorney saith that whereas the said Edward Hurtt on the twenty seventh day of February in the year one thousand eight hundred and six at the County aforesaid by his certain writing obligatory, acknowledged himself to be held and firmly bound unto the said Edward Wright in the said sum of One thousand pounds current money, to be paid to the said Edward Wright when afterwards he should be thereunto required. Nevertheless the said Edward Hurtt, (although often thereunto required) the said sum of One thousand pounds current money, to the said Edward Wright hath not rendered or paid, but the said sum of One thousand pounds current money, to the said Edward Wright hitherto to render or pay he hath altogether refused and still doth refuse. Whereupon the said Edward Wright saith he is the same and hath damage to the value of One thousand pounds current money and therefore he brings his suit and the said Edward Wright brings here into Court, the writing obligatory aforesaid, sealed with the seal of the said Edward Hurtt which testifies the debt aforesaid in form aforesaid the date whereof is the same day & year aff. & hath Ezekiel F. Chambers by Atty for plff. pledges &c. John Doe & Richd Roe.

Over of the writing obligatory aforesaid and the condition thereof mentioned in the aforegoing declaration in the following words and figures and of the tenor, to wit.

Know all men by these presents that I Edward Hurtt of Kent County, in the state of Maryland am held and firmly bound unto Edward Wright of the same place in the full and just sum of One thousand pounds, current money of Maryland, the same to the said Edward Wright or his certain attorney, executors, administrators or assigns, to which payment well and truly to be made and done I bind myself my heirs, executors and administrators firmly by these presents sealed with my seal dated this twenty seventh day of February in the year eighteen hundred & six. Whereas the aforesaid Edward Wright and a certain James Hawkins did on the second day of October in the year seventeen hundred and ninety seven enter into a bond to the State of Maryland together with a certain Mary Jones and James Jones and as their sureties conditioned for the said Mary Jones & James Jones's faithful discharge of the duty as executors of James Jones late of Kent County deceased as by the said bond recorded in the Registry of Kent County Orphans Court will more fully appear