aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid and by order of the Court here thereon is further continued until the second Monday of June next

Before which day, to wit, the first Monday of June eighteen hundred and twelve, being the day to which the Court of Appeals here by virtue of an act of the General Assembly in such case made and provided was changed continued & adjourned, come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Samuel Thompson by his attorney aforesaid as the said James Parker by Ezekiel F. Chambers Esquire his Attorney; thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid and by order of the Court here thereon is further continued until the last Monday of November next

At which day, to wit, the last Monday of November eighteen hundred and twelve, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid and by order of the Court here thereon is continued until the first Monday of June next. At which day, to wit, the first Monday of June eighteen hundred and thirteen, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their Attorneys aforesaid; thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid and by order of the Court here thereon is further continued until the last Monday of November next

At which day, to wit, the last Monday of November eighteen hundred and thirteen come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid; thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid & by order of the Court here thereon is further continued until the first Monday of June next At which day to wit, the first Monday of June, eighteen hundred and fourteen, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid; thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid & by order of the Court here thereon is further continued until the last Monday of November next

At which day, to wit, the last Monday of November eighteen hundred and fourteen, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid; thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid and by order of the Court here thereon, is further continued until the first Monday of June next At which day, to wit, the first Monday of June eighteen hundred and fifteen, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid and by order of the Court here thereon is further continued until the last Monday of November next

At which day, to wit, the last Monday of November eighteen hundred and fifteen, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid and by order of the Court here thereon is further continued until the first Monday of June next. **And now** at this day, to wit, the last Monday of May eighteen hundred and sixteen, being the day to which the Court of Appeals here by virtue of an act of the General Assembly in such case made and provided, was changed, continued and adjourned, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid Whereupon all & singular the premises being seen, and by the Court here more fully understood & matura deliberatione thereupon had, for that it appears to the Court here that there is manifest error, in the record and proceedings aforesaid and also in the judgment of the Judgment aforesaid therein the Considered by the Court here that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid for the error and others in the record & proceedings aforesaid be reversed, annulled & held

entirely as void, and that the said James Parker be restored to all things which by reason of the Judgment aforesaid he hath lost; and because it clearly appears to the Court here that justice and the merits of this case require that there should be a new trial of the issue aforesaid, thereupon the Court here according to the directions of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, order & direct a transcript of the record and proceedings aforesaid to the Clerk of the said County Court of Kent with the seal of the State of Maryland affixed, to the said County Court, directing them to proceed in the action aforesaid and to a new trial thereof in the same manner as if no trial had taken place or any appeal had been prosecuted; it is also considered by the Court here that the said James Parker recover against the said Samuel Thompson, the sum of thirty six dollars seventy eight and one third cents by the Court here adjudged unto the said James Parker, on his account for his costs and charges by him said out & expended about his prosecution of the appeal aforesaid, and that the said James Parker have thereof execution &c.

Test James Parrott Clk

Samuel Riley vs. **John T. Kennard**

Be it Remembered that on the first Monday of June eighteen hundred and fifteen, the Chief Justice and associate Justices of Kent County Court, in pursuance of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record and proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the said County Court, between Samuel Riley, plaintiff, and John T. Kennard, defendant, and wherein the said County Court gave judgment for the said Samuel Riley against the said John T. Kennard, and from which said judgment the said John T. Kennard prayed an appeal unto the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record and proceedings is in form following, to wit: "Maryland Kent County ss. At a County Court of the second Judicial district of the state of Maryland, begun and held at the Court house in the Town of Chester, in and for Kent County aforesaid on the third Monday of March being the twentieth day of the same month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifteen, and in the thirty ninth year of the Independence of the United States of America.

Present: The Honble Richard Leighman Earle, Esquire Chief Judge; Samuel Turner & Thomas Worrell, Esquires Associate Judges; Edward Bonnet, Esquire Sheriff — and Benjamin Chambers Clerk

In the Record of proceedings of the said Court among other things were the following, to wit

Samuel Riley vs. John T. Kennard

Be it remembered that heretofore, to wit, on the twentieth day of February in the year eighteen hundred & fourteen, the said Samuel Riley by James E. Barroll, Esquire his Attorney presented and produced to the County Court here the Writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum, to the Sheriff of Kent County, directed in the words and figures and of the tenor following to wit: "Kent County, to wit. The State of Maryland. To the Sheriff of Kent County, Greeting. You are hereby commanded to take John T. Kennard late of Kent County, if he shall be found in your bailiwick and him safe keep, so that you have his body before the County Court to be held at the Court house in the Town of Chester in and for the said County of Kent, on the third Monday of March next to answer unto Samuel Riley in a plea that he render unto him the sum of One hundred Dollars current money which from him he unjustly detains &c. Hereof fail not at your peril and have you then and there this Writ. Witness the Honorable Richard Leighman Earle, Esquire Chief Judge of the said County Court the 20th day of September Anno Domini 1813. Issued the 20th day of February Anno Domini 1814. Benj. Chambers Clk"

At which mentioned third Monday of March, being the twenty first day of the same month in the year eighteen hundred and fourteen, and the day of the return of the aforegoing writ came into the County Court here the said Samuel Riley by his Attorney aforesaid and the Sheriff of Kent County aforesaid, to wit, Edward Bonnet, Gentleman, to whom the said Writ was informally directed, and makes return thereof to the Court here, thus endorsed, to wit "Kent County ss. I hereby Certify to the Honorable the County Court within mentioned that the within named