Laid down by a scale of 100 parts in an Inch. A denotes the beginning—

On the Clerks Docket Entry of the aforegoing Vindicium Exponas, was the following order inserted "Quashed. Ignoramus. — The State of Maryland, To the Sheriff of Queen Anns County, Greeting. We Command you, that of the Goods and Chattels, Lands and Tenements of John S. Blake, late of Queen Anns County, being in your Bailiwick to be found, you cause to be made & levied, as well the sum of Two thousand six hundred and sixty eight pounds fourteen shillings & three pence, Current money, which Cornelia Sewell, Executrix of Clement, recover against him before the Judges of our General Court, on the second Tuesday in April, Anno Domini 1796. as the quantity of five hundred and fifty seven pounds of Tobacco, which was then and there adjudged unto her the said Cornelia Sewell, Executrix as aforesaid, for her Costs and Charges by her about suit in that behalf expended; and when you have the same so made and levied, or any part thereof, the same in your Custody safe keep, so that you have the same before the Judges of Our General Court, to be held for the Eastern Shore of this State, at Easton in Talbot County, on the second Tuesday of September next, to render unto them the said Cornelia Sewell Executrix as aforesaid, the Debt and Costs aforesaid, whereof he the said John S. Blake is convicted, as to us appeareth of Record. Hereof fail not at your peril, and have you then and there this writ. Witness the honorable Jeremiah T. Chase, Esquire Chief Judge of Our said Court, the 9th day of April, Anno Domini 1799. Signed (R. W.) James Earle Junr. Clk. — The above Fieri Facias was endorsed for the use of Samuel T. Wright, and on the back of the above Fifa was also endorsed the following return of the Sheriff, to wit, "Satisfied Pltff. says Sheriff — Henry Costin Sheriff" — State of Maryland, Talbot County, to wit, — I hereby Certify to all persons whom it doth or may concern, that the above & aforegoing are truly and faithfully taken & Copied from the Records and proceedings of the late General Court for the Eastern Shore of the State aforesaid. In Testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the public seal of my office this 15th day of June 1814 — James Parrott Clk. Eastern Shore Court of Appeals. (Seal of the Eastern Shore Court of Appeals)

And also offered evidence by the Honorable Richard T. Earle, that he was Counsel for Cornelia Sewell, and prosecuted the above suit to final Judgment, and that he was also Counsel for John Sotheron and Cornelia after the marriage, that after Samuel T. Wright obtaining the aforesaid order, he took upon himself the Collection of the money due on said Judgment, that a Fifa was laid on the lands of John S. Blake, returnable to April Term 1799 which was quashed for irregularity, that another Fifa was laid on John S. Blakes lands, returnable to September Term 1799. that the Sheriff was about selling the lands, and met at Centre Ville for the purpose, when a stop was put to the proceedings, in consequence, as he understood, of an arrangement made to pay the money in Baltimore he does not positively know that the money was paid to Samuel T. Wright on the Judgment aforesaid, but that after Samuel T. Wright obtained the order aforesaid from the Orphans Court, he became Counsel for John S. Blake, and was concerned in setting aside the first Execution, that he remained Counsel for John S. Blake till the time of his death, which happened in the year Eighteen hundred and three, and that he never heard of any claim being made on said John S. Blake, by Samuel T. Wright, or any other person, on account of the said Judgment, that he remained Counsel for John S. Blake till the time of his death, that he John S. Blake was before the year seventeen hundred and ninety nine, a man of large Fortune, and remained so till the time of his death. Whereupon the Defendant offered evidence, that by the order of the said Orphans Court, the letters Testamentary of the said Cornelia were revoked on the 31st of December 1799. and that Letters of administration Debonis non with the will annexed, was granted to the said Samuel T. Wright on the 30th of December 1799 — Maryland, Queen Anns County, to wit, — I do hereby Certify that from the minutes of the proceedings of the Orphans Court for Queen Anns County, it doth appear that on the fourteenth day of August, seventeen hundred and ninety nine, that

the letters Testamentary heretofore granted to Cornelia Sewell, on the Estate of Clement Sewell deceased be revoked, and Letters of administration Debonis non thereon, with the will annexed, be granted to Samuel T. Wright on his filing bond as the Law directs. And I further Certify, that on the thirty first day of December, seventeen hundred and ninety nine, Letters of Administration de bonis non with the will annexed, of Clement Sewell, late of Queen Anns County deceased, was granted and committed unto Samuel T. Wright — In Testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my name and affixed the public seal of my office this 22d day of April Anno Domini 1815 — Thomas C. Earle Reg. Wills for Queen Anns County. — (Seal of the Orphans Court of Queen Anns County)

And then prayed the Court to instruct the Jury, that if they believe that Coll. Samuel T. Wright the principal in the bond on which this suit was brought, was on the 25th December in the year 1799 appointed administrator D. B. N. with a Copy of the Will annexed of Clement Sewell, that then they cannot find for the Plaintiffs on the first Issue joined from the evidence offered in this cause, but must find for the Defendant on the first issue in fact joined in this Cause, to which the Plaintiff, by her Counsel objected, but the Court did give the Instruction prayed for, to the Jury, to which Opinion the Plaintiff, by her Counsel excepted, and prayed the Court to sign this her Bill of Exception. — Lem: Purnell (Seal) Tho: Worrell (Seal)

State of Maryland, for the use, and at the instance Ennalls Martin and Perry Benson surviving Executors of Thomas Chamberlaine deceased

vs.

Thomas Wright.

At the trial of this Cause, the Plaintiff, to support the aforesaid first issue in this case joined, and to prove that the said Cornelia was Ordered by the Orphans Court of Queen Anns County to Counter secure the said Samuel T. Wright, who was one of the said Cornelia's securities on the Testamentary bond given by her, as Executrix &c. of the said Clement Sewell, and that in consequence of her not giving him such Countersecurity, the said Court ordered and decreed that the said Cornelia should deliver over to the said Samuel T. Wright, all the Goods, Chattels, rights and Credits of the said Clement &c. by her the said Cornelia unadministered, produced and read in evidence to the Jury, the following Orders and decrees of the said Orphans Court, to wit — Maryland ss. At an orphans Court, began and held for Queen Anns County, at Centre Ville on Tuesday the 8th day of August seventeen hundred and ninety seven, by the Officers of the State, duly authorised and Commissioned for holding the same Court and continued by adjournment from day to day, untill Tuesday the 26th day of September, in the same year on which said day of which said Officers were present — Arthur Emory, Philip Feddeman, Esqrs — Phil. C. Blake Sheriff — Ordered by the Court that John Sotheron and Cornelia Sotheron his wife, who is the Executrix of the last will and Testament of Clement Sewell late of Queen Anns County deceased, deliver into the hands of Samuel T. Wright, one of her securities, for the due administration of the said Clement Sewells Estate, all such part of the said Estate, which shall be remaining in her hands — In Testimony that the foregoing is truly extracted from the Minutes of the proceedings of the said Court, I have hereunto set my name and affixed the public seal of my Office this 5th day of May Anno Domini Eighteen hundred and fifteen — Thomas C. Earle Reg. Wills Queen Anns County — (Seal of the Orphans Court of Queen Anns County)

Maryland ss. At an Orphans Court began and held for Queen Anns County, at Centre Ville, in the County aforesaid, on Tuesday the 8th day of August seventeen hundred and ninety seven, by the Officers of the State, duly authorised and Commissioned for holding the same Court, and continued by adjournments from day to day, untill Thursday the 28th day of September, in the same year, on which said day of which said Officers were present — Arthur Emory, Philip Feddeman Esquires — Ordered by the Court that the Copy of the order for the delivery of the property of Clement Sewell to Samuel T. Wright be served on John & Cornelia Sotheron — In Testimony that the foregoing is truly Extracted from the minutes of the proceedings of the said Court — I have hereunto set my name and affixed the public seal of my office this third day of May Anno Domini Eighteen hundred and fifteen. — Thomas C. Earle Reg. Wills Queen Anns County — (Seal of the Orphans Court of Queen Anns County)

And the said Plaintiff further offered evidence to the Jury, to prove, that afterwards, the said Samuel T. Wright, by virtue of the said orders of the said Orphans Court, did receive the sum of seventeen hundred and thirty three pounds Current money, of the Goods, Chattels, rights and Credits which were of the said Clement &c. and that he did not, on his being appointed administrator, debonis non &c. with the Will annexed, or at any time after, return to the said Orphans Court any Inventory, charging himself as administrator debonis non &c. with the said sum of money, or any part thereof — and offered in Evidence, the aforesaid Letters of administration de bonis non &c. to the said Samuel T. Wright — And thereupon the said Plaintiff, by her Attorney, prayed the Court to direct the Jury, that from the evidence so offered they find that the said Samuel T. Wright did, under and by virtue of the said Orders of the Orphans Court receive the aforesaid sum of money, of the Goods, Chattels, rights, Credits and monies which were of the said Clement &c. and had before he was appointed Administrator debonis non &c. with said Will annexed, wasted the same, and was not possessed thereof at the time of his being so appointed, then and in that Case the plaintiff is entitled to a Verdict upon the said first issue — But the Court refused to give the said Opinion, they