of Chancery or be occasioned by the delay of execution on the said judgments unless the said County Court acting as a court of Chancery shall decree to the contrary and shall in all things obey such order and decree as the said County Court acting as a court of Chancery shall make in the premises then the above obligation to be of none effect else to be in full force and virtue

Signed sealed & delivered in presence of
Samuel Barrett George J. Jones Dep. Clk

P. Guillet, Laine (Seal)
D. L. Barrett (Seal)
Charles Jones (Seal)

Whereupon on the eleventh day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty one the State of Maryland, writ of injunction was issued forth in the words following to wit.

Peter Guillet, Complainant
John Williams, Defendant &
George Dashiell Sheriff of Somerset County

In Chancery
The State of Maryland to John Williams of Worcester County and Geo Dashiell Esquire Sheriff of Somerset County

Greeting: Whereas it hath been represented to us in our court of Chancery, on the part of Peter Guillet complainant that he hath lately exhibited his bill of complaint into our said court of Chancery for Somerset County aforesaid against you the said John Williams and you the said George Dashiell Sheriff of Somerset County Defendants to be relieved touching the matters therein contained. We therefore in consideration of the premises do strictly enjoin and command you the said John Williams and George Dashiells and all and every of the persons before mentioned under the penalty of three thousand dollars current money to be levied of your lands and tenements, goods and chattels to our use, that you and every of you do absolutely desist from all further proceedings at law or otherwise touching any of the matters in the said bill contained and complained of until you the said defendants shall have fully answered the said Bill and our said Court shall make other order to the contrary. Witness the honorable William Whittington one of the associate Judges of Somerset County court on the Chancery side

this eleventh day of September in the year of our Lord eighteen hundred and twenty one.

Dan Barley Barrett Esq Solicitor for the complainant

Jr. order John Done clk

On the same day to wit: the eleventh day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty one also the States writ of Subpoena issues in the words following to wit:

Somerset County to wit. The State of Maryland To John Williams of Worcester County & George Dashiell Esquire Sheriff of Somerset County, you are hereby commanded that all excuses and delays being set apart you and each of you be and appear before the Judges of Somerset County Court in Chancery sitting at Princess Anne on the fourth Monday of May next to answer unto all such matters and things as are alledged and complained of against you and each of you respectively in the bill of complaint on the Chancery side of the said Court preferred by Peter Guillet against you hereof you are not to fail at your peril. Witness the Honorable William Whittington one of the associate Judges of the said Court this eleventh day of September in the year of our Lord eighteen hundred and twenty one.

D. L. Barrett Solicitor for the complainant. Jr. order John Done clk

Thereupon the said John Williams comes into Court here by Isaiah Bagley & Ephraim K Wilson his Solicitors, and in obedience to the order aforesaid, files his answer to the said Bill of complaint in the words following to wit.

John Williams
alst.
Peter Guillet

In Somerset County Court sitting as a Court of Chancery. Bill, injunction &c.

This Defendant now and at all times hereafter saving and reserving to himself all manner of benefit and advantage of exception to the manifold errors and insufficiencies in the Complainant's said Bill contained for answer thereto or to so much thereof as this defendant is advised is material for him to make answer unto, he answers and says he admits the existence & authenticity of the exhibits marked A. B. G. H and D but denies that a recovery was had in the cases referred to by said documents by the unconscionable and immoral conduct of this defendant in tampering with a particular and important witness on the part of the Complainant the defendant in said suits, for this defendant expressly denies all such tampering as alledged against him, on the contrary this defendant admitting the existence and authenticity of the exhibit marked G