your Orator would further state, that for the purpose of more particularly identifying that part of Widow's change rejected by the aforesaid Commissioners A.D. 1795, as the same on which your Orator was so wrongfully charged with having committed a trespass he would refer your Honours to Exhibit G.G. H.H. & J.J. herewith filed and which your Orator prays may be taken as a part of this his Bill of complaint.— Exhibit G.G. was found among the papers of Samuel Smith, one of the Commissioners mentioned in B.B. herein before referred to, & who was the surveyor to the said Commissioners at the time. By the remark referring to figures 1 & 2 upon said platt made by your Orator, the part of Widow's change claimed by Matthias Miles at that time as a part of Coleraine and rejected by the Commissioners and to which is the same land laid down for illustration by John Williams whereon your Orator was charged with having committed a trespass— for the certainty of which your Orator prays your Honours particularly to compare the same with exhibit J.J. which is a copy of the platt laid down by said John Williams aforesaid for illustration &c and which also contains remarks made by your Orator in relation thereto.— Exhibit H.H. was found among the papers of Wm Davis Allen which are now in the hands of James Boston the executor of the administrator of the administrator of said William D. Allen by comparing this platt & remarks made by your Orator with the exhibit J.J. herein before referred to the part of Widow's change rejected by the Commissioners aforesaid A.D. 1795 & claimed by M. Miles as a part of Coleraine is the same land whereon the trespass was alledged to have been committed by your Orator as illustrated by the directions of the said John Williams in exhibit J.J. herein before mentioned to these facts arising from the comparison of the said three last named Exhibits herewith viz. G.G. H.H. & J.J. your Honours particular attention is humbly requested.— The misfortune as by exhibit F.F. makes the more of investigation at present necessary and unavoidable.— Your Orator would further state & charge that the part of Widow's change whereon the aforesaid trespass was said to have been committed was in the possession & occupancy of William D. Allen during his life time, as also in that of his heirs waiting a decision as to whom the same should ultimately attach and by a determination of referees chosen

by William W. Allen and your Orator March 14th 1820 it was determined that under the previous agreement between Wm W. Allen & your Orator, the timber to cut upon the part of Widows change rejected by the commissioners of 1795 was a part sold to your Orator in virtue of said agreement as by exhibit H.H. will more fully appear, and which your Orator prays may make part of his bill of Complaint.— Your Orator would respectfully charge that the said Defendants John Williams and William W. Allen combining and confederating together, as will appear fully by exhibit G. filed with the bill of complaint to which this is a supplement, a copy of which is herewith filed marked G. and prays to be taken as part of this bill of Complaint, with the view to injure and defraud your Orator in the premises sometimes pretend they will shew your Orator all the papers and writings in their power or custody relating to the transactions as aforesaid, but which they have ever refused to do greatly to the embarrassment and injury of your Orator.

Your Orator would further state that until a misunderstanding between William W. Allen and your Orator followed by the conspiracy suits for charges among the defendants to this bill the said John Williams never pretended to or thought of setting up a title to any part of the premises of which Jane Thawley died seized nor could he ever have had in his power to shew colour of title but for the acquiring possession of papers &c. as charged in the Bill of Complaint to which this is a supplement, and the intricacy and mystery which a series of many years, has thrown over the whole business as herein before stated.— All which acting and doings and pretences are contrary to equity and good conscience and tend to the manifest injury of your Orator in the premises.— In tender consideration whereof and for as much as your Orator is remediless in the premises at and by the direct and strict rules of common law, and cannot have adequate relief save in a Court of Equity where matters of this and the like nature are properly cognizable and relievable.— To the end therefore that the said John Williams, William W. Allen and William Whittington and the rest of the confederates when discovered may upon their several and respective corporal oaths, full, true, direct and perfect answers make to all and singular the matters herein before stated, represented and charged as fully and particularly as if the