and myself under the bond of 1794, as the last bond did not mention the name of the land in dispute Peter Guillet had understood, that John Williams and my brother had entered into a contract which Peter Guillet considered prejudicial to my rights and interest: that I was equally entitled with my brother to the land in dispute, and we went to see John Williams for the purpose of satisfying him, that from the bond of 1794 he could have no claim to the land in dispute. Peter Guillet had other grounds for his opinion and belief, that John Williams could have no title to the lands inasmuch as he (John Williams) had never claimed any title to the land before he (Peter Guillet) had cut timber on it.

Item. To the twenty sixth interrogatory deponent answers and says. "I said nothing to John Williams of the bond of 1796. neither did Peter Guillet in my hearing. Peter Guillet and John Williams conversed on the subject of the land, but I did not hear any thing said of the bond of 1796. for I was not paying any kind of attention to any thing that was said."

Item. To the twenty seventh interrogatory deponent answers and says "Peter Guillet told me that he had enquired of my brother for the bond of 1796 and he (William W. Allen) told him that it could not be found, he did not know where it was. Peter Guillet further told me that he had examined the deed record in the clerks office, and that it did not include the land in question.

Item. To the twenty eighth interrogatory deponent answers and says. "I do not know whether it was the original or not, I do not recollect that I saw the word Copy written on it"

To the twenty ninth interrogatory deponent answers and says. "I do not know, but I thought it was a bond."

And further this deponent saith not

Charles W. Harding of Somerset County being thirty three years of age or thereabouts a witness produced and sworn as aforesaid, on the part and behalf of the complainant in the cause, and who being examined by the commissioners deposeth and saith as follow.

Item. To the first interrogatory filed on the part of the complainant this deponent answers and says.

"I know all the parties. I have known Peter Guillet ever since he came to Princess anne, John Williams between one and two years, William W. Allen since he was about eighteen years of age, and William Whittington about two years".

To the second interrogatory deponent answers and says "At the time John Williams brought suit against Peter Guillet, as I suppose, William W. Allen was exclaiming against the conduct of Peter Guillet for injustice against himself or John Williams or both, and observed something about some staves which John Williams had claimed, he went on to observe further that his uncle John Williams would see him, as far as I can recollect. I asked him if it was not his land from which the staves were got. I do not recollect his positive reply, he only observed he was ignorant in the business which he had undertaken with Peter Guillet, but that John Williams would see him righted, and that he the said William W. Allen