Thomas J. Bullitt and John Leeds Kerr Esquires their Solicitors, at the said John Newton Clement Stanford administrator of Algernon S. Stanford and Charles LeCompte and Devereux Travers by Josiah Bayly Esquire their Solicitor. And the said John Newton Clement Stanford administrator of Algernon S. Stanford and Charles LeCompte and Devereux Travers by their Solicitor aforesaid say, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the Decree aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said John Griffith and Sophia his Wife, John Seward and Sarah his wife and John Vincent and Nancy his wife, against the said John Newton Clement Stanford administrator of Algernon S. Stanford and Charles LeCompte and Devereux Travers, when by the law of the land that Decree ought to have been given for the said John Newton Clement Stanford administrator of Algernon S. Stanford and Charles LeCompte and Devereux Travers against the said John Griffith and Sophia his wife, John Seward and Sarah his wife and John Vincent and Nancy his wife, therefore in that there is manifest error and he prays that the Decree aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void, and that they the said John Newton Clement Stanford, administrator of Algernon S. Stanford and Charles LeCompte and Devereux Travers may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said Decree; and that the said John Griffith and Sophia his wife, John Seward and Sarah his wife and John Vincent and Nancy his wife may rejoin to the errors aforesaid &c. And the said John Griffith and Sophia his wife, John Seward and Sarah his wife and John Vincent and Nancy his wife, by their Solicitors aforesaid, say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said John Newton Clement Stanford administrator of Algernon S. Stanford and Charles LeCompte and Devereux Travers above for errors assigned, and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed &c. But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what Decree to give in the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next, to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here in the premises for that the Court now here thereof are not advised &c. At which said first Monday in June, being the second day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty three, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Solicitors aforesaid; thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties & their Solicitors aforesaid by order of the Court here further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the last Monday in November next.

At which said last Monday in November, being the twenty fourth day of the same month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty three, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Solicitors aforesaid thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next.

At which said first Monday in June, being the seventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty four, come again into

the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Solicitors aforesaid, but because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what Decree to give in the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the last Monday in November next to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here in the premises for that the Court now here thereof are not advised &c. At which said last Monday in November being the twenty ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty four, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Solicitors aforesaid; thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here in the premises &c. At which said first Monday in June, being the sixth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty five, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Solicitors aforesaid; But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what Decree to give in the premises, day therefore is given before the Court of Appeals here to the parties aforesaid, until the last Monday in November next, to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here in the premises, for that the Court now here thereof are not advised &c. At which said last Monday in November, being the twenty eighth day of the same month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty five, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Solicitors aforesaid; thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here in the premises &c. At which said first Monday in June, being the fifth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Solicitors aforesaid; but because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what Decree to give in the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the last Monday in November next to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here in the premises, for that the Court now here thereof are not advised &c. At which said last Monday in November, being the twenty seventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Solicitors aforesaid, thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here in the premises &c. And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in June, being the fourth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven, come again into the Court of Appeals the parties aforesaid by their Solicitors aforesaid: Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the Decree thereupon made, as the matters aforesaid by the said John Newton Clement Stanford, administrator of Algernon S. Stanford and Charles LeCompte and Devereux Travers above for errors assigned being seen and by the Court of Appeals here more fully understood, and mature deliberation being thereupon had