And thereupon the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid prays and appeals from the Judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered to the Court of Appeals to be held for the Eastern Shore of the State of Maryland - It is thereupon ordered by the Court here that a transcript of the record and proceedings in the plea aforesaid, with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the Court of Appeals to be held for the Eastern Shore of the said State of Maryland at Easton on the first Monday of June next and the same is transmitted accordingly.

Maryland Worcester County Set. Test John C Handy Clk.

I hereby Certify that the aforegoing is a true Copy taken from the Record of proceedings of Worcester County Court. In Testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand & affixed the seal of my office this 25th day of May, Anno Domini 1833.

State of Maryland Worcester County Court

John C Handy Clk Wor Co Ct

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said John Doe on Lessee of the said Richard Warren, Sutton R Warren, Thomas N W Warren, Sally Warren, Eliza Warren and Patty Watts by Ephraim K Wilson Esquire their Attorney as the said John Richardson & Louisa his Wife & James R S Purnell and Mary his Wife by Irving Spence & Joseph Bayly Esquires their Attorneys; and thereupon on motion of the said John Richardson and Louisa his Wife & James R S Purnell and Mary his Wife, by their Attorneys aforesaid, it is ordered by the Court here that the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid assign the error in the Record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the Judgment aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or Judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof. And the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid by his Attorney aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said John Richardson and Louisa his Wife & James R S Purnell and Mary his Wife against the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid when by the law of the land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid against the said John Richardson and Louisa his Wife and James R S Purnell and Mary his wife therefore in that there is manifest error and he prays that the Judgment aforesaid for that error, and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled & held entirely as void, and that he the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid may be restored to all things which by reason of the Judgment aforesaid he hath lost; and that the said John Richardson and Louisa his Wife and James R S Purnell and Mary his Wife may rejoin to the error aforesaid &c. And thereupon it is ordered by the Court of Appeals here that the said John Richardson and Louisa his Wife & James R S Purnell and Mary his Wife join in the error by the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or Judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against them in default thereof: and the said John Richardson & Louisa his Wife and James R S Purnell & Mary his Wife by their Attorneys afs. say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Judgment afs. and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters afs. by the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid above for error assigned, and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed &c. But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what Judgment to give of and upon the premises - day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the third Monday in November next to hear the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises for that the Court now here thereof are not advised and so forth.

At which said third Monday in November, being the eighteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and thirty three, come again into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid by Robert Ashburton Esquire his Attorney as the said John Richardson & Louisa his Wife and James R S Purnell & Mary his Wife by their Attorneys aforesaid; thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made & provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the Judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said first Monday in June being the second day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty four, come again into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid by Donald McLeans Esquire his Attorney as the said John Richardson and Louisa his Wife and James R S Purnell & Mary his Wife by their Attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their Attorneys aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here on the third Monday in November next to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said third Monday in November, being the seventeenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty four come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made & provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals until the first Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the Judgment of the Court of Appeals here of & upon the said premises &c.

And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in June, being the first day of the said month, in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred & thirty five come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record & proceedings aforesaid, and the Judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood and mature deliberation thereupon had; so that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the Record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Judgment aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force & effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding. And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said John Richardson and Louisa his Wife & James R S Purnell & Mary his Wife have execution against the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid, as well for the costs & charges adjudged unto them in the said County Court of Worcester, as also the sum of One hundred & forty one dollars & eighty cents adjudged unto the said John Richardson & Louisa his Wife & James R S Purnell & Mary his Wife by the Court of Appeals here as their assent for their costs and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said Appeal by the said John Doe on Lessee as aforesaid for the correcting of error &c & upon the premises as afs. prosecuted &c.

James Barnes