therein mentioned. — I hereto set my and affixed the seal of said Court this
(Seal) Eighth day of June Anno Domini eighteen hundred and fifty. —
Attest Bradford, Clk. Balt. County Court. —
(See plat and explanations at page 3 of this book.)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said William Peterkin Joshua Peterkin and others Lessee by Grafton L. Dulany and Charles F. Mayer Esquires their attorneys, as the said William Inloes Joshua Inloes, James Hooper and Jonathan Chapman, by William F. Giles and Reverdy Johnson, Esquires their attorneys; and thereupon, on motion of the said William Inloes Joshua Inloes James Hooper and Jonathan Chapman, by their attorneys aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said William Peterkin Joshua Peterkin and others Lessee assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the judgment aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here, will be entered against them in default thereof and the said William Peterkin Joshua Peterkin and others Lessee by their attorneys aforesaid, says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid, it appears that the judgment aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said William Inloes Joshua Inloes James Hooper and Jonathan Chapman against the said William Peterkin Joshua Peterkin and others Lessee when by the law of the land, that judgment ought to have been given for the said William Peterkin Joshua Peterkin and others Lessee against the said William Inloes Joshua Inloes James Hooper and Jonathan Chapman therefore in that there is manifest error, and they pray that the judgment aforesaid for that error, and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled and held entirely as void, and that they the said William Peterkin Joshua Peterkin and others Lessee, may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said judgment, and that the said William Inloes, Joshua Inloes, James Hooper and Jonathan Chapman may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth. And thereupon, it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said William Inloes Joshua Inloes James Hooper and Jonathan Chapman join in the errors by the said William Peterkin Joshua Peterkin and others Lessee above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here, will be rendered against them in default thereof. And the said William Inloes Joshua Inloes James Hooper and Jonathan Chapman by their attorneys aforesaid, prays that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said William Peterkin Joshua Peterkin and others Lessee above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next; and to them it is granted; the same day is also given to the said William Peterkin Joshua Peterkin and others Lessee. Then Vs.

At which said first Monday in December being the second day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty, which said day was given to the said William Inloes Joshua Inloes James Hooper and Jonathan Chapman, to join in the errors by the said William Peterkin Joshua Peterkin and other Lessee above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid and the said William Inloes Joshua Inloes James Hooper and Jonathan Chapman by their attorneys aforesaid, says, there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination

as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said William Peterkin, Joshua Peterkin and others Lessee above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed, and so forth. But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here, thereof are not advised and so forth. —

At which said second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty one come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid, thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next; the same day is given to the said parties there, then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth. —

At which said first Monday in December being the first day of the said month, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and fifty one come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here, as yet are not, &c.

At which said first Monday in June being the seventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty two come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid. But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here, as yet are not &c. —

At which said first Monday in December being the sixth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty two come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here, as yet are not, &c.

And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in June being the sixth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty three come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon