12 A tract of land called Parson's Outlett described by the blue dashed lines and blue
" figures thus 1 — — — — 24°.
13 Counterlocation of a deed from Jonathan S. Parsons to John Feeney described
" by the Blue drown lines beginning at C. thus ———
14 Jerusalem, Beginning at R and described by the black drawn lines and figures
" thus 1 ——— 24°.
15 Defendants counterlocation of Plaintiffs location of The Wife of Jonathan
" S. Parsons Beginning at V. and described by the lack dotted lines and figures
" thus 1 ..... 24°.
16 Defendants location of a point on Parsons's Mill Branch where Powell
Pattey and Charles Parker heard Jonathan S. Parsons call said Branch Parson's
Mill Branch described thus B
17 Defendants location of a point on Parson's Mill Branch where John B.
" Perdue and Ely S. Perdue heard Jonathan S. Parsons call said Branch Parsons
Mill Branch and described thus W.
18 The place where Parsons Mill formerly stood described thus Y.

aforesaid, prays that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said Richard Roe Lessee of George Walston above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in June next, and to them it is granted, the same day is also given to the said Richard Roe Lessee of George Walston, then &c.

At which said first Monday in June being the sixth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty three which said day was given to the said Rufus White Tenant in possession, by Anum H. Mills, Landlord to join in the errors by the said Richard Roe Lessee of George Walston above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid, and the said Rufus White Tenant in possession, by Anum H. Mills, Landlord by their attorneys aforesaid, say, there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matter aforesaid, by the said Richard Roe Lessee of George Walston above for error assigned and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed, and so forth. But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals