aforesaid, and so forth. And thereupon, it is prayed by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Sarah E. Mitchell join in the errors by the said Henry S. Mitchell above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here, will be rendered against her in default thereof: And the said Sarah E. Mitchell by her attorney aforesaid, prays that a day may be given to her to join in the errors by the said Henry S. Mitchell above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday of December next: and to her it is granted; the said day is also given to the said Henry S. Mitchell then &c.

And which said first Monday in December being the third day of the said Month, in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred and fifty five which said day was given to the said Sarah E. Mitchell to join in the errors by the said Henry S. Mitchell above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid, and the said Sarah E. Mitchell by her attorney aforesaid says, there is no record in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and she prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Henry S. Mitchell above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed, and so forth: But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises for that the Court here, thereof not advised, and so forth.

At which said first Monday in June being the second day of the said Month, in the year of our Lord One thousand Eight hundred and fifty six come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid; thereupon for the process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is, by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next; the same day is given to the said parties there, then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises, and so forth. And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in December being the first day of the said Month, in the year of our Lord 1856 come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said Henry S. Mitchell above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had: for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the judgment aforesaid, giving in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in anywise notwithstanding. And it is further considered by the Court here that the said Sarah E. Mitchell have execution against the said Henry S. Mitchell as well for the damages, costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto her in the said Circuit Court of Charles County as also the sum of Sixty five dollars and thirty six cents adjudged unto the said

Sarah E. Mitchell by the Court of Appeals here, on her assent for her costs and charges which she sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Henry S. Mitchell for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid, prosecuted &c.

Memorandum. Judgment was affirmed in this case in the 15th day of April 1857, and execution awarded for the sum of $1176.03 with interest thereon from the 18th day of May 1855 until paid, and $61.25 Costs in Circuit Court and $65.36 Costs in Court of Appeals.

Test.

William A. Spencer. clerk.
Court of Appeals of Md.

Trustees of the Poor of Queen Anne's County
vs.
Mary T. N. Pratt

Be it remembered, That now here on the First Monday in December being the fourth day of the said Month, in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred and fifty four the Judge of the Seventh Judicial Circuit of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before him in the Circuit Court of Queen Anne's County between Mary T. N. Pratt, plaintiff, and the Trustees of the Poor of Queen Anne's County defendants, and wherein the said Circuit Court gave judgment for the said Mary T. N. Pratt against the said Trustees and from which said judgment the said Trustees appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following, to wit:

Maryland Sct. Queen Anne's County, to wit:

At a Circuit Court of the Seventh judicial Circuit of the State of Maryland begun and held at Centreville in and for Queen Anne's County on the first Monday of November in the year Eighteen hundred and fifty four.

Was Present.

The Honorable Philemon B. Hopper Esquire Judge.
James E. Dillen Esquire Sheriff.

John Palmer Clerk.

In the Record of Proceedings of the said Court among other things is contained the following to wit:

Mary T. N. Pratt.
vs.
Richard B. Carmichael James Roe. Stephen L. Wright. John Brown. James Merrick. Pere Wilmer. George C. Palmer John Tilghman & John B. Thomas. Trustees of the poor of Queen Anne's Co.

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit, on the 26th day of April in the year 1852 the said Mary T. N. Pratt by Richard B. Carmichael and Madison Brown Esquires her attorneys, prosecuted and sued forth out of the Circuit Court here, the writ of the State of Maryland of Summons in Dower against William Carmichael, James Roe, Stephen L. Wright, John Brown, William Temple, Pere Wilmer, George C. Palmer, John Tilghman and John B. Thomas, Trustees of the Poor of Queen Anne's County in the words and of the tenor following to wit:

Queen Anne's County to wit:

The State of Maryland.