Proceedings aforesaid even the giving the Judgment aforesaid, as aforesaid, might be for the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors to be therein or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against them in the said Record and the said Henry E Wright et al by their Attorney aforesaid says that the Record of Proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit that by the Record aforesaid it appears that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said Z S Mell against the said Henry E Wright et al when by the Law of the Land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said Hy E Wright et al against the said Petition S Mell therefore in that there is manifest error and they pray that the Judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and be entirely as void and that they the said Henry E Wright et al may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said Judgment and that the said Petition S Mell may reform to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon the mutually the Court of Appeals here that the said Petition S Mell from in the errors by the said Henry E Wright et al above assigned in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid or Judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be reversed here in the said Record and the said Petition S Mell by his Attorney aforesaid says there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the Judgment aforesaid and errors that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the Record of Proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Henry E Wright et al therein first assigned and that the said Judgment may in all things be affirmed and so forth thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such cases made and provided ordained before the Court of Appeals here until the first Thursday in December next the same day is given to the said parties there to hear the Judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said first Thursday in December being the 5 day of the said Month in the year of our Lord 1859 came again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, But because the Court of Appeals here are yet advised what Judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefor is further given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their Attorneys aforesaid to come before the Court of Appeals on the first Thursday of June next to hear the Judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises for that the Court now here as yet advised to

At which said first Thursday of June being the 4 day of the said Month in the year of our Lord 1860 came again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what Judgment of and upon the premises the case was regularly continued from Term to Term until December Term 1862,

And now at this day to wit the first Thursday in December being the first day of the said Month in the year of our Lord 1862 came again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as and the Record of Proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Henry E Wright and other their assignments being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood and maturely deliberated thereon have for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Judgment aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said errors for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding. And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here that the said Petition S Mell have execution against the said Henry E Wright and his and Hm T Wright and sureties for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged in the said Circuit Court in Prince George's County as also the sum of Seventy three dollars and Eighty one cents adjudged to the said Petition S Mell by the Court of Appeals here in his assent for his costs and charges which he sustained by occasion of the delay the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by prosecuting the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Henry E Wright et al for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid proceeding to

Memorandum Judgment was affirmed in this case on the 5 day of December 1862 and Execution awarded for the sum of $1677 cents in Circuit Court and $73.81 cents in Court of Appeals and costs.

William A Fenwick Clk