I have given my answer to this Interrogatory to my answer to the second Interrogatory.

To the fourth Interrogatory he answers and says.

I Know the Lands mentioned in the conveyance from Emery Riggin to Joshua P Henry since the 27 October 1849 to the present time. Mr Riggin has been in possession of said Land.

To the fifth Interrogatory He answers and says, Read the conversation given in my answer to the second interrogatory and about the last of last October I had a conversation with Mr Riggin in which he spoke of being missed in the suit and other against him as I understood and said that the Irish man had sworn against him he must go to Hell for, or would be the death of him.

To the 6th and last Interrogatory He answers and says

Nothing further than I have set.

Test W D Byrd clk

Isaac Sterling

To the first Cross Interrogatory of defendants Solicitor to Isaac Sterling he deposes and says.

I was a Creditor of Emery Riggin at the time of the conveyance alluded to which is evidenced by Exhibit A C Jesse with the Commissioner who said sum is still due. I am security for Mr Riggin for two Hundred dollars to Wellington Jarrett and was so at the time of the conveyance above alluded to.

Test W D Byrd clk

Isaac Sterling

The following is Exhibit A C referred to in the above depositions to Wit.

$91.66⅔ On demand I promise to pay Isaac Sterling or his order the sum of ninety one dollars and 66 cts for value received. as Witness my hand and seal this 16 day 1850.

Emery Riggin (seal)

Endorsed For value received I assign and transfer the within Bill and debt to James Lord without recourse.

Isaac Sterling

Complainants Interrogatories to John H Moore

William S Waters Trustee of Emery Riggin and others
vs
Joshua P Henry and Emery Riggin

In Jefferson County Court sitting as a Court of Equity.

Interrogatories to be propounded to John H Moore a Witness produced and sworn on behalf of the Complainants,

1st Do you or not know the parties to the suit or any of them and how long have you known them

2nd Do you or not have had any Conversation or conversations with Emery Riggin or have you or not heard any declarations of the said Emery Riggin after or about the time of the Execution of the deed from Emery Riggin to Joshua P Henry in regard to the Consideration of said deed. "If you have heard any such declarations or conversations state the same fully and particularly"

3rd Do you or not have heard the said Emery Riggin declare that he held a lien or lien bond for the said Land, If so state distinctly what he said,

4th Do you or not know the Land mentioned in the conveyance from Emery Riggin to Joshua P Henry exhibited in this cause? If you do have been in

possession of the same since the 27 of Oct 1849.

5th Do you or not know any other matter or thing that would be of advantage to the parties to this cause or to any of them at the time thereof,

John H Lowe Sol for Complts.

John H Moore a Witness of lawful age produced on the part of the Complainants being duly sworn deposes and says as follows.

To the first Interrogatory He answers and says,

I know the parties to this suit and have known them for some time,

To the second Interrogatory He answers and says. I had a conversation with Emery Riggin about the dead referred to some time in the June of 1849 in which Mr Riggin said he had sold his land to Joshua P Henry. I do not recollect for how much. He said it was Braffs money that was paid for it, and that on their return home from where the deed was executed he (Riggin) had returned the money to Joshua Henry as Braff had use for the money. Joshua P Henry was not present at this conversation

To the third Interrogatory He answers and says,

I believe I heard Mr Riggin say he had a back lien bond for the land. But I am not positive

To the fourth Interrogatory He answers and says,

I know the place which Mr Riggin said he had sold to Joshua P Henry Mr Riggin has been on the land since the 27 of October 1849 the present time just as he did previous to that time so far as my knowledge extends. To the fifth Interrogatory he answers and says.

I know nothing further than I am aware of

Test W D Byrd clk

John H Moore

There being no other Witnesses present to be examined the Commissioner at the request of Complainants Solicitor adjourned the Commission to the 28 day of January 1852.

January 28th 1852 The Commissioner met pursuant to adjournment, but no Witnesses being present, and the Counsel for the parties being unable to attend he adjourned the further execution of the Commission to day of

Test W D Byrd clk

And offered to prove that the said proceedings under the Commission to take testimony now offered in Evidence were the original papers and in said condition that they now are to the appointment of William D Byrd Clerk in the hand writing of Alfred H Jones the Clerk therein named, and that he received except the signature of the respective Witnesses to their respective depositions as in the hand writing of the said William D Byrd Clerk therein named and that the said William D Byrd is now dead. And offered further to prove by Samuel W Jones the Commissioner therein named that said papers now offered in Evidence were the original proceedings under the said Commission then in and said Commissioner Interrogatories and depositions of the said Moore and proceedings before said Commissioner,

Memorandum "The said Commissioner interrogatories and deposition of the said Moore and proceedings before said Commissioner are above exhibited in this Record.