See the following agreement of Counsel to wit:

Elisha Warford
vs.
Richard Coleman

It is agreed that the printed record in this case filed with the Proceedings shall be omitted from the record and read in evidence in the Court of Appeals as if part of the record

Wm. Price for Plffs
Brewer & Brune for Defdt.

State of Maryland, Set.

At a session of the Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland begun and held for the said State at the City of Annapolis on the first Monday of June in the sixth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty nine & in the year of Independence of the United States of America the eighty third

Present.

The Hon. John C. LeGrand — Chief Justice
John B. Eccleston
William H. Luck
James L. Bartol — Associate Justices

Wm. A. Spencer Clerk.

Among other were the following proceedings, to wit:

Elisha Warford
Joseph Cain
Richard Cain
Jno. Cain of G.
Jno. Cain of R.
Mary Larew
Daniel Larew her husband
Ann Hudnutt and
Saml. Hudnutt her husband Lessee
Vs
Richard Coleman otherwise called
Richard Coleman Warford.

Be it remembered that now here on the First Monday in December being the seventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and fifty seven the Judge of the fifth Judicial Circuit of Maryland in pursuance of the directions of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before him in the Superior Court of Baltimore City between Elisha Warford and others Lessee Plaintiffs and Richard Coleman otherwise called Richard Coleman Warford defendant, and wherein the said Superior Court gave judgment for the said Richard Coleman otherwise called Richard Coleman Warford against the said Elisha Warford and others and from which said judgment the said Elisha Warford and others appealed to the Court of Appeals here the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following, to wit:

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Elisha Warford and others by William Price, Wm. H. Norris, and G. L. Delaney Esquires their Attorneys as the said Richard Coleman otherwise called Richard Coleman Warford by Recordy Johnson, Wm. Schley & Brown & Brune Esquires his attorneys, and thereupon on motion of the said Richard Coleman

by his attorneys aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here that the said Elisha Warford and others assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the judgment aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against them in default thereof; And the said Elisha Warford and others by their Attorneys aforesaid, say that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this to wit: That by the Record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Richard Coleman against the said Elisha Warford and others when by the law of the land, that judgment ought to have been given for the said Elisha Warford and others against the said Richard Coleman; wherefore in that there is manifest error, and they pray that the judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void and that they the said Elisha Warford and others may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said judgment and that the said Richard Coleman may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said Richard Coleman join in the errors by the said Elisha Warford and others above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here, will be rendered against them in default thereof: and the said Richard Coleman by his Attorneys aforesaid, prays that a day may be given to him to join in the errors by the said Elisha Warford & others above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in June next; and to him it is granted; the same day is also given to the said Elisha Warford and others then &c.

At which said first Monday in June being the seventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty eight which said day was given to the said Richard Coleman to join in the errors by the said Elisha Warford and others above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said Richard Coleman by his attorneys aforesaid, says: there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said Elisha Warford and others above for error assigned and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed, and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the First Monday in December next; and the same day is given to the said parties there, then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said First Monday in December being the sixth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and fifty eight come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid. Thereupon further process of and