April Term 1867.

January 1864 with force and arms that is to say with swords, clubs and knives at the County aforesaid entered into the said Tracts or parcels and lots of land with the appurtenances which the said Peter Lamman had demised to the said John in manner aforesaid for the term aforesaid which is not yet expired and ejected the said John from his said lots pieces or parcels of land and other wrongs to the said John then and there did to the great damage of the said John and against the peace and government and dignity of the State &c Whereupon the said John saith he is injured and hath sustained damage to the value of One thousand dollars and therefore he brings his suit &c

Thomas J McKaig
Allyn Pell.

And thereupon the said John Denn by his attorneys aforesaid prays that the said Morris Edwards and Winnefred Edwards may answer to the amended declaration of him the said John Denn in the plea aforesaid, Whereupon it is ruled by the Court here that the said Morris Edwards and Winnefred Edwards answer the amended declaration of the said John Denn in the plea aforesaid or judgment will be rendered against them by default.

And thereupon the said Morris Edwards and Winnefred Edwards by their attorneys aforesaid come and defend the force and injury when and so forth and say that they are not guilty of the said supposed trespass and ejectment above laid to their charge in manner and form as the said plaintiff hath above complained against them. And of this they put themselves upon their Country and the said John Denn by his attorney aforesaid doth the like,

Whereupon the said twelve remaining persons already called empannelled and sworn to say the truth and so forth as aforesaid are by order of the Court here thereupon again empannelled and sworn and who being so again empannelled and sworn to say the truth in the premises upon their oath do say that the said Morris Edwards and Winnefred Edwards are guilty of the trespass and ejectment aforesaid in manner and form aforesaid as the said John Denn within against them has complained and they

April Term 1867.

assess the damages of the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid by occasion of the trespass and ejectment aforesaid to one cent,

Whereupon it is considered by the Court here that the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid recover against the said Morris Edwards and Winnefred her seven aforesaid yet to come and unexpired of and in all those said Tracts or parcels of land called and known as lots numbered 34, 41, 42 and 43 in the town of Bloomington formerly called Savageville in Allegany County Maryland as aforesaid by the jurors aforesaid sworn and the said sum of one cent by the jurors aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed for his damages which he hath sustained by occasion of the trespass and ejectment aforesaid, that the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid have execution of his possession against the said Morris Edwards and Winnefred Edwards and so forth, And it is also considered by the Court here that the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid recover against the said Morris Edwards and Winnefred Edwards the sum of seventy six dollars and twenty seven cents by the Court here into him on his assent adjudged for his costs and charges by him about his suit in this behalf laid out and expended,

And the said Morris Edwards and Winnefred Edwards in mercy &c.

Memorandum That the jurors aforesaid returned from the bar of the Court here the said Morris Edwards and Winnefred Edwards by their attorneys aforesaid filed in Court here the following Bill of exceptions to wit.

After the ruling of the Court as stated by the defendants Bill of exceptions and after the argument had commenced before the jury the plaintiff asked leave of the Court to amend his declaration to which the defendants objected but the Court granted the said leave. The plaintiff then filed the amended declaration to which the defendants pleaded Not guilty and the jury were then sworn and the defendants then again offered their several prayers to the Court as stated in the seventeen bill of exceptions from 1 to 5 inclusive and which were the same as severally offered the Court thereupon granted the 4th prayer of the defendants and rejected all the other prayers of the said defendants to which ruling of the Court in granting leave to amend and in rejecting the first second third and fifth prayers