October Term 1868

bounding on the South side of Pratt street twenty feet; thence Southerly parallel with Washington Street one hundred feet to an Alley twelve feet wide thence Westerly bounding on said Alley with the use and privilege of the same in common And parallel with Pratt Street twenty feet to Washington street, and thence Northerly bounding thereon to the place of beginning being the same piece or parcel of land that was conveyed to William Webster by Thomas B Cockey & wife by indenture dated January 30th 1863 and recorded in the land records of Baltimore City in Liber G. E. S No 224 folio 163 &c

Valued at $5000.00

Geo. W. Addison (Seal)
Thos. W. Griffin (Seal)
Lewis C. Robinson Jr. (Seal)

And the Sheriff aforesaid, to whom the writ of summons was in form aforesaid directed, and to whom the copies of the short note were in manner aforesaid sent, makes return of the said last mentioned writ to the Court here, thus endorsed, to wit: "Non Est Copy set up Jno. J. Dancker, Sheriff" And the said William Webster the defendant being called, now here comes not. — Whereupon a certain Uri Kilburn comes into the said Court of Common Pleas here on the seventeenth day of April in the year last aforesaid, by William B. Bond Esquire his attorney and files in said cause the following claim to the property attached in the above entitled cause, and motion and reasons to quash Attachment to wit;

Hazen Griffith & McGee
vs
Wm. Webster
} Attachment in the Court of Common Pleas

Uri Kilburn Claims the property attached in the above case and moves the Court to quash the Attachment for the following reasons to wit:

1st That although the House and Lot mentioned in the Sheriffs Return to the writ was in the possession of one

October Term 1868

David Bishop as tenant of the said Kilburn at the time of the supposed levy yet he was not nor any other person summoned as Garnishee

2. Because no short note as required by Law was filed with the proceedings

3rd Because no copy of short note was set up at the Court house Door.

4th Because the claim being above one thousand Dollars the Court had not nor has jurisdiction in said case

5th Because there is no sufficient affidavit to Authorize the issuing an Attachment

Wm. B. Bond
Atty for U. Kilburn

Tenants name David Bishop

Afterwards on the twentieth day of April, to wit: in the year last aforesaid, the said Uri Kilburn claimant as aforesaid by his said Attorney files in Court here in said cause the following additional reason to quash the aforesaid Attachment, to wit:

Hazen Griffith & McGee
vs
Wm. Webster
} Motion to quash Attachment

The said Uri Kilburn Claimant of the property lived on files the following as a reason additional to those heretofore filed, as follows

Because the said house & lot mentioned in the Sheriff return was bona fide purchased and paid by him long anterior to the laying of the said Attachment and claimant was then in possession of the same

Wm. B. Bond
Atty for Claimant

Thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their Attorneys aforesaid and by order of the Court here thereon, is continued until the second Monday in May then next.

And now here at this day, to wit: the said second Monday in May in the year last aforesaid, come again into the said Court here the