October Term, 1882.

Bostick above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed, and so forth; thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is, by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such cases made and provided, continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in October next, the same day is given to the said parties, then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in October, being the second day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-two come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the Record and Proceedings aforesaid, and the judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said William H. Bostick above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here, fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had, for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid; Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the judgment aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, and said causes for error above assigned and alleged in any wise, notwithstanding. And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Stanesbury Blades have execution against the said William H. Bostick, as well for the damages, costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto him in the Circuit Court, as also the sum of twenty-seven dollars and seventy-five cents adjudged unto the said Stanesbury Blades by the Court of Appeals here, for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by reason of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid, by future of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said William H. Bostick for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises aforesaid, pursuant to, &c.

Memorandum—Judgment was affirmed in this case on the 12th day of January 1883, for the property and damages and execution awarded for the sum of $1312.45 Costs in the Circuit Court and $71.75 costs in the Court of Appeals of Maryland respectively.

Test. Spencer C. Jones, Clerk.

April Term 1883.

State of Maryland Sct:

At a Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland, begun and held for the said State, at the City of Annapolis, on the first Monday in April, being the Fourth day of the said month, in the year eighteen hundred and eighty-three, and of the Independence of the United States of America, the one hundred and eight.

The Honorable Richard Alvey, Chief Judge.
Levin T. N. Irving
John M. Robinson
George Yellott
Oliver Miller,
John Ritchie
Fred'k Stone
Wm. Shepard Bryan
Hon. Spencer C. Jones, Clerk.

Among other were the following proceedings to wit:

State of Maryland Sct:

Fannie E. E. Holstead vs Julius C. Hall	Be it Remembered, That now here, on the first Monday in April being the third day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty two, the Judges of the Fifth Judicial Circuit of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here, the Record of Proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County between Fannie E. E. Holstead Plaintiff and Julius C. Hall Defendant, and wherein the said Circuit Court gave judgment for the said