April Term 1883.

And afterwards to wit: on the 15th day of November 1882 the following agreement was filed, viz:

Fannie E. E. Halstead vs Julius C. Hall.	In the Court of Appeals

It is agreed that an omission in making out the record may be supplied by inserting in the Plaintiffs' exception, page 5 of the record, after the word "fourth" in the twelfth line, the following "of said property for which this suit is brought and to one fourth of;" and that the word "She" in the 8th line may be changed to "he": also that the word "alone" in defendant's third exception be changed to "alive".
It is also agreed & admitted that the case was submitted to and tried by the Court, as shown by the docket entries returned as part of the record.

W. H. Tuck
Jno. Ireland
for Appellants
Frank H. Stockett
for Appellee
26 Oct. 1882.

But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attorneys aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday in April next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here, as yet, are not, &c.

April Term.

And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in April, being the second day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty two come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorney aforesaid.
Whereupon as well the Record and Proceedings aforesaid, and the judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matter aforesaid, by the said Fannie E. E. Halstead above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had, for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the judgment aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in anywise, notwithstanding. And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Julius C. Hall have execution against the said Fannie E. E. Halstead as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said Circuit Court as also the sum of forty five dollars and seventy cents adjudged unto the said Julius C. Hall, by the Court of Appeals here, on his assent for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Fannie E. E. Halstead for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid, prosecuted, &c.
Memorandum.- Judgment was affirmed in this case, on the 4th day of May 1883 and execution awarded for