there come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorney aforesaid.

Whereupon as well the Record and Proceedings aforesaid, and the judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Wm. H. Armstrong and Samuel Snowden Admrs. &c above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had, for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid; Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the judgment aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in anywise, notwithstanding. And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Kate Kerns et al have execution against the said W. H. Armstrong and Samuel Snowden Admrs. &c. as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto them in the said Superior Court of Baltimore City as also the sum of $42.50 adjudged unto the said Kate Kerns et al by the Court of Appeals here, on their assent for their costs and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said Appeal by the said Wm. H. Armstrong & Samuel Snowden Admrs. &c for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted, &c.

Memorandum,- Judgment was affirmed in this case on the 21st day of Feb. 1883, and execution awarded for the sum $ in the Superior Court of Baltimore City $95.85 costs in the Court of Appeals & costs & costs.

Test: Spencer C. Jones,
Clerk, Court of Appeals of Maryland.

Pere Wilmer Hanson and Catharine R. Richardson and William H. Richardson
vs
Richard C. Johnson.

Be it Remembered, That now here, on the first Monday in April being the second day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighty-three, the Judges of the Second Judicial Circuit of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here, the Record of Proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the Circuit Court for Kent County between Pere Wilmer Hanson and others Plaintiffs and Richard C. Johnson Defendent, and wherein the said Circuit Court gave judgment for the said Richard C. Johnson against the said Pere Wilmer Hanson et al and from which said judgment the said Pere Wilmer Hanson and others appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said Record of Proceedings is in form following to wit:

In the Circuit Court for Kent County.

Pere Wilmer Hanson and Catharine R. Richardson and William H. Richardson her husband.
vs
Richard C. Johnson.

Summons in Ejectment filed April 11th 1882. Nar & notice filed same day - which are in the words following to wit:

In the Circuit Court for Kent County.

State of Maryland, Kent County, to wit:

Pere Wilmer Hanson and Catharine R. Richardson and William H. Richardson her husband by William A. Hammond and S. Hopper Emory their attorneys sue Richard C. Johnson, For that, whereas the said Pere Wilmer Hanson and