my brother Pere W. Hanson and myself, and this interview was in the presence of my husband Mr. William H. Richardson and my brother Pere W. Hanson—

As to how he the said George A. Hanson was to pay for it, or where the purchase money was to come from, I never was told, never knew, and do not know now.

That William H. Richardson would testify as follows "Until recently I never knew of my wife having any rights in the property in question—I never heard of the sale of any such property by George A. Hanson never heard the matter discussed or talked about anywhere or at any time—The only remark ever made to me about such a matter was by George A. Hanson, who about Christmas 1871 when he stated he had sold a piece of Woodland property to raise money to pay off a claim of Pere W. Hanson, but this conversation never led me to suppose that it was property in which my wife had any interest whatever, to the contrary I always believed it was a part of Radcliffe Hall property.

That Mr. Pere Wilmer Hanson would testify as follows—Although we were always led to believe that my sister Mrs. Richardson and I had been cut off from any share of Mrs. Catharine H. Wroth's property. I never until recently knew that Mrs. Wroth owned such a place as the farm in question and consequently did not know of any rights we had in the property in question until within the last fifteen months when a copy of the paper writing filed in the case "Exhibit H" came into my possession and I submitted it to counsel, I never knew until then that George A. Hanson had made the sale mentioned to the defendant Rich.d C. Johnson, and never did know that from that source he obtained funds with which to finish the payments on Radcliffe: On the contrary when Mr. Hanson's clerk came to see me with reference

to the said payments, he exhibited a schedule of certain foundry property and Machinery &c in Frederick County which he proposed to sell and raise the money for Radcliffe.

It is further agreed that issue be joined on the defendants plea and that this cause be submitted to the Court without argument, and that a proforma Judgment shall be entered for the defendant with right of appeal by the Plaintiffs to the Court of Appeals, and that should the Court of Appeals determine the Plaintiffs' Entitled to recover they shall enter up such Judgment as the Court below of right should have entered.

It is also admitted as a fact, but objected to by the Plaintiffs as evidence in the cause, that from 1855 to 1868 the said lands were assessed in the name of Peregrine Wroth and the taxes were paid by him, and that from 1868 down, the lands have been assessed to the defendant, and the taxes paid by him.

It is further agreed that the Court shall be at liberty to draw such inferences of fact as a Jury might draw, and that the Court of Appeals shall consider the case without regard to the form of the exceptions.

W. A. Hammond
D. H. Emory
Attys for Plaintiffs.

Richd Hynson
James A. Pearce
Attys for Deft.

Edward T. Wroth will testify that during the summer of 1882 Mr. Pere W. Hanson, at an interview had at his instance stated that he had been informed that his Aunt Catharine Wroth had made her will under undue influence exerted by her brother Alexander Hanson, whereby